Method	Reference
ACUTA	Bous, G., Fortemps, P., Glineur, F., Pirlot, M.: ACUTA: a novel method for eliciting additive value functions on the basis of holistic preference statements. Eur. J. Oper. Res. 206(2), 435–444 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2010.03.009
AHP	Saaty TL (1980) The analytic hierarchy process. McGraw Hill, New York
AHP-F (FAHP)	Tzeng G.H., Huang J.J. (2011), Multiple Attribute Decision Making: Methods and Applications, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group. ISBN 9781439861578
AHP-M (MAHP)	Lootsma, Freerk A. (1997). [Applied Optimization] Fuzzy Logic for Planning and Decision Making, Volume 8: The Additive and the Multiplicative AHP, pp. 109-147 (Chapter 5). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2618-3_5
AHP-MG	Wu, Zhibin & Jin, Bingmin & Fujita, Hamido & Xu, Jiuping. (2019). Consensus analysis for AHP multiplicative preference relations based on consistency control: A heuristic approach. Knowledge-Based Systems, vol. 191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2019.105317.
AHP-R (RAHP)	Belton, V. and Gear, T. (1983) On a Short-Coming of Saaty's Method of Analytic Hierarchies. Omega, 11, 228-230. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0483(83)90047-6
AIM	V. Lofti, T. Stewart and S. Zionts, An aspiration-level interactive model for multiple criteria decision making, Computers and Operations Research 19 (7), 1992, pp. 671-681; https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0548(92)90036-5
AIP	T. J. Aragon, "Deriving criteria weights for health decision making: A brief tutorial," UC Berkeley: School of Public Health, Berkeley, 2017 [Online]. Available: https://escholarship.org/uc/item/52755837 [10 November 2022]
AIR	Yurin, A. Y. (2012). An approach for definition of recommendations for prevention of repeated failures with the aid of case-based reasoning and group decision-making methods. Expert Systems with Applications, 39(10), pp. 9282–9287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.02.076
AIRM	Dotsenko, S., Makshanov, A. and Popovich, T. (2014) 'Application of aggregated indices randomization method for prognosing the consumer demand on features of mobile navigation applications. In REAL CORP 2014–PLAN IT SMART! Clever Solutions for Smart Cities', Proceedings of 19th International Conference on Urban Planning, Regional Development and Information Society, May, pp.803–806, CORP–Competence Center of Urban and Regional Planning.
AMAST	Mandell, S. F., Duke, J. R., & Taliaferro, D. (1989). Advanced Multi-Attribute Scoring Technique (AMAST): A model scoring methodology for the Request for Proposal (RFP). Journal of Medical Systems, 13(3), 163–175. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00995887
ANP	Saaty, T. L. (1996). Decision making with dependence and feedback: the analytic network process: The organization and prioritization of Heavyity. Pittsburgh: Rws Publications.
ANP-F (FANP)	Mikhailov, L., and M.G. Singh. (2003). Fuzzy analytic network process and its application to the development of decision support systems. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics 33 (1): 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMCC.2003.809354
APIM	Popovich, V.; Hovanov, N.; Hovanov, K.; Schrenk, M.; Prokaev, A.; Smirnova, A. (2008). Situation Assessment in Everyday Life.
ARAMIS	Petrovsky A. Group verbal decision analysis. In: Adam F and Humphreys P (eds) Encyclopedia of decision making and decision support technologies. Hershey: IGI Global, 2008, pp.418–425. ISBN13: 9781599048437, https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-59904-843-7
ARAS	Edmundas Kazimieras Zavadskas & Zenonas Turskis (2010) A new additive ratio assessment (ARAS) method in multicriteria decision-making, Technological and Economic Development of Economy, 16:2, 159-172. https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2010.10
ARAS-F (FARAS)	Turskis, Z.; Zavadskas, E. K. 2010a. A new fuzzy additive ratio assessment method (ARAS-F). Case study: The analysis of fuzzy multiple criteria in order to select the logistic centers location, Transport 25(4): 423–432. https://doi.org/10.3846/transport.2010.52
ARAS-G (GARAS)	Turskis, Zenonas and E. Zavadskas. "A Novel Method for Multiple Criteria Analysis: Grey Additive Ratio Assessment (ARAS-G) Method." Informatica 21 (2010): 597-610. https://doi.org/10.15388/Informatica.2010.307
ARAS-H	Ghram, M., Moalla Frikhahela, H. ARAS-H: A ranking-based decision aiding method for hierarchically structured criteria. RAIRO-Oper. Res. 55 (3) 2035-2054 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1051/ro/2021083
ARGUS	De Keyser WSM , Peeters PHM . Argus — a new multiple criteria method based on the general idea of outranking. In: Paruccini M, editor. Applying multiple criteria aid for decision to environmental management, 3. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 1994. p. 263–78 . https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0767-1_17
ARIADNE	A. P. Sage and C. C. White, "ARIADNE: A knowledge-based interactive system for planning and decision support," in IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, vol. SMC-14, no. 1, pp. 35-47, Jan.-Feb. 1984, https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1984.6313267.
ASPID	Hovanov, N., 1996. ASPID-METHOD: Analysis and Synthesis of Parameters under Information Deficiency. St. Petersburg State University Press, St. Petersburg (in Russian).
ASTRIDA	Berkeley, D., Humphreys, P.C., Larichev, 0.1. and Moshkovich, H.M, 1989b. ASTRIDA: Advanced Strategic Intelligent Decision Aid: Global Design. ST/ICERD Doc. ASTRIDA-GD-001. London: LSE.
BCM	Gholamreza Haseli, Reza Sheikh & Shib Sankar Sana (2020) Base-criterion on multi-criteria decision-making method and its applications, International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management, 15:2, 79-88, https://doi.org/10.1080/17509653.2019.1633964
BCR	Frej, Eduarda Asfora; Ekel, Petr; de Almeida, Adiel Teixeira (2021). A benefit-to-cost ratio based approach for portfolio selection under multiple criteria with incomplete preference information. Information Sciences, 545, 487–498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2020.08.119
BDA	Newman, J. W., (1971). Management Applications of Decision Theory. New York: Harper & Row.
BGM	Brown, P.A.; Gibson, D.F. A Quantified Model for Facility Site Selection - Application to a Multiplant Location Problem. AIIE Trans. 1972, 4, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/05695557208974822
BPA	Chen Z, Agapiou A and Li H (2020) A Benefits Prioritization Analysis on Adopting BIM Systems Against Major Challenges in Megaproject Delivery. Front. Built Environ. 6:26. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2020.00026
BSC	Kaplan, R.S. and Norton, D.P. (1995) 'Putting the balanced scorecard to work', Performance Measurement, Management, and Appraisal Sourcebook, Vol. 6, No. 1, p.66.
BWM	Rezaei, J. (2015). Best-worst multi-criteria decision-making method. Omega, 53, 49–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2014.11.009
BWM-G	Haseli, G.; Sheikh, R.; Wang, J.; Tomaskova, H.; Tirkolaee, E.B. A Novel Approach for Group Decision Making Based on the Best–Worst Method (G-BWM): Application to Supply Chain Management. Mathematics 2021, 9, 1881. https://doi.org/10.3390/math9161881
BWS	Louviere, J. J., & Woodworth, G. G. (1990). Best-Worst Scaling: A Model for Largest Difference Judgments. University of Alberta: Working Paper, Faculty of Business.
CA (I)	Green, P. and Srinivasan, V. (1978) Conjoint analysis in consumer research: Issues and outlook, Journal of Consumer Research, vol 5, September 1978, pp 103–123. https://doi.org/10.1086/208721
CA (II)	Wang, Jianqiang & Peng, Lu & Zhang, H.-Y & Chen, Xiao-hong. (2014). Method of multi-criteria group decision-making based on cloud aggregation operators with linguistic information. Information Sciences. 274. 177–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2014.02.130.
CAM	Ming-Lung Hung, Hwong-wen Ma, Wan-Fa Yang, A novel sustainable decision making model for municipal solid waste management, Waste Management, Volume 27, Issue 2, 2007, Pages 209-219, ISSN 0956-053X. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.01.008
CBA	Talvitie, Antti (2018). Jules Dupuit and benefit-cost analysis: Making past to be the present. Transport Policy, S0967070X18300684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2018.01.013
CBR	Li, H. and Sun, J. (2008). Ranking-order case-based reasoning for financial distress prediction. Knowledge-Based Systems, 21(8): 868-878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2008.03.047
CBS	G. ODU, "Weighting Methods for Multi-Criteria Decision Making Technique," Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 1449-1457, 2019. https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v23i8.7
CCA	P. Korhonen, J. Wallenius and S. Zionts, Solving the discrete multiple criteria problem using convex cones, Management Science 80, 1336-1345 (1984). [Online]. Available: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2631568 [Accessed on 10 November 2022]
CCDM	Beliakov, Gleb, Boswell, Sharon, Cao, Thang, Dazeley, Richard, Mak-Hau, Vicky, Nguyen, Minh-Tuan, Wilkin, Tim and Yearwood, John 2019, Aggregation of dependent criteria in multicriteria decision making problems by means of capacities, in MODSIM2019 : Supporting evidence-based decision making: the role of modelling and simulation : Proceedings of the 23rd International Congress on Modelling and Simulation, MODSIM, [Canberra, A.C.T.], pp. 228-234, https://doi.org/10.36334/modsim.2019.B3.beliakov.
CCSD	Wang, Ying-Ming & Luo, Ying. (2010). Integration of correlations with standard deviations for determining attribute weights in multiple attribute decision making. Mathematical and Computer Modelling. 51. 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2009.07.016.
CFPR	Herrera-Viedma, E., Herrera, F., Chiclana, F., & Luque, M. (2004). Some issues on consistency of fuzzy preference relations. European Journal of Operational Research, 154 (1), 98–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(02)00725-7
CGT	Ridaoui, M.; Grabisch, M.; Labreuche, C. Interaction indices for multichoice games. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 383, pp.1-26 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2019.04.008
CI	Grabisch, M., Labreuche, C. (2005). Fuzzy Measures and Integrals in MCDA. In: Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis: State of the Art Surveys. International Series in Operations Research & Management Science, vol 78. Springer, New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-23081-5_14
CILOS	E. K. Zavadskas, Integrated Resource Assessment and Selection Decisions in Construction (Mokslas, Vilnius, 1987), p. 210. (In Russian).
CILOS-F	Valentinas, P., Kazimieras, Z., & Askoldas, P. (2020). An Extension of the New Objective Weight Assessment Methods CILOS and IDOCRIW to Fuzzy MCDM. ECONOMIC COMPUTATION AND ECONOMIC CYBERNETICS STUDIES AND RESEARCH. https://doi.org/10.24818/18423264/54.2.20.04
CM	Dawes, R. M. (1964). Social selection based on multidimensional criteria. The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 68(1), 104–109. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0047832
CM (II)	Colin Eden (1988). Cognitive mapping. , 36(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(88)90002-1
CMCDA	Cunha, Daniel & Andrade, Michelle & Prado, Lucius & Santana, Leonardo & Silv, Marcos. (2021). RISK assessment in airport maintenance runway condition using MCDA-C. Journal of Air Transport Management. 90. 101948. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2020.101948.

COCOSO	Yazdani, M., Zarate, P., Kazimieras Zavadskas, E. and Turskis, Z. (2019), "A combined compromise solution (CoCoSo) method for multi-criteria decision-making problems", Management Decision, Vol. 57 No. 9, pp. 2501-2519. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-05-2017-0458
COCOSO-G	Yazdani, M., Wen, Z., Liao, H., Banaitis, A., & Turskis, Z. (2019). A grey combined compromise solution (CoCoSo-G) method for supplier selection in construction management. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 25(8), 858-874. https://doi.org/10.3846/jcem.2019.11309
CODAS	Keshavarz-Ghorabaee, Mehdi & Zavadskas, Edmundas & Turskis, Zenonas & Antucheviciene, Jurgita. (2016). A new combinative distance-based assessment (CODAS) method for multi-criteria decision-making. Economic computation and economic cybernetics studies and research / Academy of Economic Studies. 50. 25-44.
COMET	Sałabun, W. (2015) 'The characteristic objects method: a new distance-based approach to multi-criteria decision-making problems', Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis, Vol. 22, Nos. 1–2, pp.37–50. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.1525
COPRAS	Zavadskas, E. and Kaklauskas, A. (1996) 'Determination of an efficient contractor by using the new method of multi-criteria assessment', Paper presented at the International Symposium for 'The Organization and Management of Construction'', Shaping Theory and Practice.
COPRAS-G	Zavadskas, Edmundas & Kaklauskas, Arturas & Turskis, Zenonas & Tamosaitiene, Jolanta. (2008). Selection of the effective dwelling house walls by applying attributes values determined at intervals. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management. 14. 85-93. https://doi.org/10.3846/1392-3730.2008.14.3.
COSIMA	Kim Bang Salling, Steen Leleur, Anders Vestergaard Jensen, Modelling decision support and uncertainty for large transport infrastructure projects: The CLG-DSS model of the Øresund Fixed Link, Decision Support Systems, Volume 43, Issue 4, 2007, Pages 1539-1547, ISSN 0167-9236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2006.06.009.
CP	Zeleny, M. (1973) 'Compromise programming', in Cochrane, J.L. and Zeleny, M. (Eds.): Multiple Criteria Decision Making, pp.262–301, University of South Carolina Press, Columbia.
CRITIC	Diakoulaki D, Mavrotas G, & Papayannakis L, Determining objective weights in multiple criteria problems: The critic method, Computers & Opera-tions Research (1995), Vol. 22, No. 7, pp. 763-770. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0548(94)00059-h
CROC	Riabacke, M., Danielson, M., Larsson, A., & Love, E. (2012). Employing Cardinal Rank Ordering of Criteria in Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis. In Uncertainty Modeling in Knowledge Engineering and Decision Making : Proceedings of the 10th International FLINS Conference. Retrieved from http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:su:diva-75395
CUT	Argyris, N.; Morton, A.; Figueira, J. R. CUT: A Multicriteria Approach for Concavifiable Preferences. Operations Research (2014) 62:3, 633-642. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2014.1274
DANP	Chen, Fu-Hsiang & Hsu, Tsung-Shin & Tzeng, Gwo-Hshiung. (2011). A balanced scorecard approach to establish a performance evaluation and relationship model for hot spring hotels based on a hybrid MCDM model combining DEMATEL and ANP. International Journal of Hospitality Management - INT J HOSP MANAG. 30. 908-932. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.02.001.
DCE	Kjær T. A review of the discrete choice experiment – with emphasis on its application in health care. Syddansk Universitet, Odense, Denmark (2005).
DEA	A. Charnes, W. W. Cooper, E. Rhodes, Measuring the efficiency of decision making units, Eur. J. Oper. Res, 2 (1978) 429-444. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(78)90138-8
DELPHI	Dalkey, N. (1969). An experimental study of group opinion The Delphi method. Futures, 1, 408-426. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-3287(69)80025-X
DELTA	Mats Danielson, Love Ekenberg, A framework for analysing decisions under risk, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 104, Issue 3, 1998, Pages 474-484, ISSN 0377-2217. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(97)00022-2.
DEMATEL	Fontela E, Gabus A (1974) DEMATEL, Innovative methods, Report No. 2, Structural analysis of the world problematique, Battle Institute, Geneva Research Centre
DEX	Bohanec, Marko & Rajkovic, Vladislav. (1990). DEX: An Expert System Shell for Decision Support. Sistemica. 1. 145-157.
DIER-BCS	Chao Fu, Shanlin Yang, The combination of dependence-based interval-valued evidential reasoning approach with balanced scorecard for performance assessment, Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 39, Issue 3, 2012, Pages 3717-3730, ISSN 0957-4174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.09.069.
DIVAPIME	Mousseau, V. (1995). Eliciting Information Concerning the Relative Importance of Criteria. In: Pardalos, P.M., Siskos, Y., Zopounidis, C. (eds) Advances in Multicriteria Analysis. Nonconvex Optimization and Its Applications, vol 5. Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2383-0_3
DM	Dawes, R. M. (1964). Social selection based on multidimensional criteria. The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 68(1), 104–109. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0047832
DNMA	Liao, H., & Wu, X. (2019). DNMA: A double normalization-based multiple aggregation method for multi-expert multi-criteria decision making. Omega. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2019.04.001
DPSIR	OECD. (1993). Core set of indicators for environmental performance reviews: a synthesis report by the group on the state of the environment. Environment mono- graphs . Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development .
DPSWR	Cooper, P. (2013). Socio-ecological accounting: DPSWR, a modified DPSIR framework, and its application to marine ecosystems. Ecological Economics, 94, 106–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2013.07.010.
DRAPE	Todeschini R, Grisoni F, Ballabio D (2019) Deep Ranking Analysis by Power Eigenvectors (DRAPE): A wizard for ranking and multi-criteria decision making. Chemom Intell Lab Syst 191:129–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2019.06.005
DRPM	Cabello, José M.; Navarro-Jurado, Enrique; Rodríguez, Beatriz; Thiel-Ellul, Daniela; Ruiz, Francisco (2018). Dual weak–strong sustainability synthetic indicators using a double reference point scheme: the case of Andalucía, Spain. Operational Research, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12351-018-0390-5
DST	Deng, Y.; Chan, F. T. S. A new fuzzy dempster MCDM method and its application in supplier selection. Expert Systems with Applications, 38 (2011), pp. 9854–9861. ISSN: 0957-4174, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.02.017
EBA	Tversky, A. (1972). Elimination by aspects: A theory of choice. Psychological Review, 79(4), 281–299. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0032955
EEP	Tom Swor, Larry Canter, Promoting environmental sustainability via an expert elicitation process, Environmental Impact Assessment Review, Volume 31, Issue 5, 2011, Pages 506-514, ISSN 0195-9255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2011.01.014.
ELECTRE GKMS	Greco, Salvatore & Kadzinski, Milosz & Mousseau, Vincent & Slowinski, Roman, 2011. "ELECTREGKMS: Robust ordinal regression for outranking methods," European Journal of Operational Research, Elsevier, vol. 214(1), pages 118-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2011.03.045
ELECTRE II	B. Roy, P. Bertier, La methode ELECTRE II - Une application au media-planning, SEMA (Metra International), Note de Travail, 142, 1971.
ELECTRE III	B. Roy, ELECTRE III: Un algorithme de classement fonde sur une representation floue des preferences en presence de criteres multiples, Cahiers Centre d'Etudes Rech. Oper. 20 (1) (1978) 3-24.
ELECTRE IV	B. Roy, J.C. Hugonnard, Ranking of suburban line extension projects on the Paris metro system by a multicriteria method, Transport. Res. 16A (4) (1982) 301-312.
ELECTRE SS	Hanandeh, Ali & El-Zein, Abbas. (2007). A New Stochastic Multi-criteria Decision Analysis Tool based on ELECTRE III Method [Online]. Available: http://hdl.handle.net/10072/38868 [Accessed 10 November 2022]
ELICIT	Diaby V, Sanogo V, Moussa KR. ELICIT: An alternative imprecise weight elicitation technique for use in multi-criteria decision analysis for healthcare. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res. 2016; 16(1) : 141-147. https://doi.org/10.1586/14737167.2015.1083863
EM	Thomas L Saaty, A scaling method for priorities in hierarchical structures, Journal of Mathematical Psychology, Volume 15, Issue 3, 1977, Pages 234-281, ISSN 0022-2496. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2496(77)90033-5
EPISSURE	André, S., & Roy, B. (2010). Applying the EPISSURE Approach for the Evaluation of Business Sponsorship Performance. Handbook of Multicriteria Analysis, 369–404. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-92828-7_13
ER	Jian-Bo Yang and M. G. Singh, "An evidential reasoning approach for multiple-attribute decision making with uncertainty," in IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1-18, Jan. 1994, https://doi.org/10.1109/21.259681
ES	Hammond JS, Keeney RL, Raiffa H. Even swaps: a rational method for making trade-offs. Harv Bus Rev. 1998; 76(2):137-8, 143-8, 150. PMID: 10177863.
EVAMIX	Voogd H . Multicriteria evaluation with mixed qualitative and quantitative data.Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science (1982); 9:221-36. https://doi.org/10.1068/b090
EWM	S.-J. Chen, C.-L. Hwang, Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Making: Methods and Applications, Springer, Berlin, 1992; eBook ISBN: 978-3-642-46768-4. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-46768-4
EXPROM	Diakoulaki, D., & Koumoutsos, N. (1991). Cardinal ranking of alternative actions: Extension of the PROMETHEE method. European Journal of Operational Research, 53 (3), 337–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(91)90067-6
FANMA	Srdjevic, B.; Medeiros, Y.; Srevi´c, Z.; Schaer, M. Evaluating management strategies in Paraguacu river basin by analytic hierarchy process. In Proceedings of the First Biennial Meeting of the International Environmental Modeling and Software Society, Lugano, Switzerland, 1 July 2002; Volume 1, pp. 42–47. [Online]. Available: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/iemssconference/2002/all/38/ [Accessed 10 November 2022]
FARE	R. Ginevicius, A new determining method for the criteria weights in multi–criteria evaluation, International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making, Vol. 10, No. 06, pp. 1067-1095 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219622011004713
FCM	Bart Kosko, Fuzzy cognitive maps, International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, Volume 24, Issue 1, 1986, Pages 65-75, ISSN 0020-7373, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7373(86)80040-2
FDEMATEL	Dalalah, D., Hayajneh, M., & Batieha, F. (2011). A fuzzy multi-criteria decision making model for supplier selection. Expert Systems with Applications, 38, 8384–8391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.01.031
FDM	Yu, Rachung and Gwo-Hshiung Tzeng. "A soft computing method for multi-criteria decision making with dependence and feedback." Appl. Math. Comput. 180 (2006): 63-75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2005.11.163
FDMM	Betáková, J. & Zeman, R. & Pavlenko, T. & Dvorský, Ján. (2015). An assessment of measures for the prevention of the origin and consequences of a selected group of exceptional events in a territorial unit. 251-261. https://doi.org/10.2495/SC150231
FITradeoff-R	Frej, E.A., de Almeida, A.T. & Costa, A.P.C.S. Using data visualization for ranking alternatives with partial information and interactive tradeoff elicitation. Oper Res Int J 19, 909–931 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12351-018-00444-2; URL: http://fitradeoff.org/
FMEA	United States Department of Defense (9 November 1949). MIL-P-1629 – Procedures for performing a failure mode effect and critical analysis. Department of Defense (US). MIL-P-1629.

FPC	C.G.E. Boender; J.G. de Graan; F.A. Lootsma (1989). Multi-criteria decision analysis with fuzzy pairwise comparisons. , 29(2), 133–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(89)90187-5
FUCA	Luis Fernando, M. M.; Jose Luis, P. E.; Aguilar-Lasserre, A., et. al. (2011). Selecting The Best Portfolio Alternative From A Hybrid Multiobjective GA-MCDM Approach For New Product Development In The Pharmaceutical Industry. In: 2011 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Multicriteria Decision-Making (MDCM) proceedings, p. 159 - 166. https://doi.org/10.1109/SMDCM.2011.5949271
FUCOM	Pamucar, Dragan & Stević, Željko & Sremac, Siniša. (2018). A new model for determining weight coefficients of criteria in MCDM models: Full Consistency Method (FUCOM). Symmetry. 10. 1-22. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10090393
FWA	Guh, Yuh-Yuan & Po, Rung-Wei & Lee, E.. (2008). The fuzzy weighted average within a generalized means function. Computers & Mathematics with Applications. 55. 2699-2706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2007.09.009
GAIA	Mareschal, Bertrand & Brans, Jean-Pierre. (1988). Geometrical representations for MCDA. European Journal of Operational Research. 34. 69-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(88)90456-0
GCM	Steven D. Sheetz, David P. Tegarden, Kenneth A. Kozar & Ilze Zigurs (1994) A Group Support Systems Approach to Cognitive Mapping, Journal of Management Information Systems, 11:1, 31-57, https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.1994.11518029
GMCR	Keith W. Hipel, D. Marc Kilgour, Liping Fang, Xiaoyong (John) Peng, The decision support system GMCR in environmental conflict management, Applied Mathematics and Computation, Volume 83, Issues 2–3, 1997, Pages 117-152, ISSN 0096-3003, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0096-3003(96)00170-1
GRA	Deng Ju-Long, Control problems of grey systems, Systems & Control Letters, Volume 1, Issue 5, 1982, Pages 288-294, ISSN 0167-6911. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6911(82)80025-X
GRIP	Figueira, J. R., Greco, S., & Słowiński, R. (2009). Building a set of additive value functions representing a reference preorder and intensities of preference: GRIP method. European Journal of Operational Research, 195, 460–486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2008.02.006
GTMA	Venkata Rao, Ravipudi. (2007). Decision Making in the Manufacturing Environment: Using Graph Theory and Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Making Methods. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84628-819-7
HAW	C.-L. Hwang, K. Youn, Multiple Attribute Decision Making - Methods and Application: A State of the Art Survey. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (1981). eBook ISBN: 978-3-642-48318-9; https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-48318-9
HDT	Hasse, H. Uber die klassenzhal abelscher Zahlkoper; Akademie Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1952.
HEIM	See, T., Gurnani, A., and Lewis, K. (February 14, 2005). "Multi-Attribute Decision Making Using Hypothetical Equivalents and Inequivalents ." ASME. J. Mech. Des. November 2004; 126(6): 950–958. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1814389
HGDM	Sahin Zorluoglu, Ozge & Kabak, Ozgur. (2020). Hierarchical group decision-making approach for information technology project evaluation and prioritization. Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis. 27. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.1723
HIA	P. Korhonen, A hierarchical interactive method for ranking alternatives with multiple qualitative criteria, European Jounal of Operational Research 24, 265-276 (1986). https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(86)90049-4
HRE	Kułakowski, K.: A heuristic Scoring estimation algorithm for the pairwise comparisons method, Central European Journal of Operations Research, 2013, 1–17, ISSN 1435-246X. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10100-013-0311-x
HSMAA	Resce, Giuliano & Schiltz, Fritz. (2020). Sustainable Development in Europe: A Multicriteria Decision Analysis. Review of Income and Wealth. 67. https://doi.org/10.1111/roiw.12475
HT	C.-L. Hwang, K. Youn, Multiple Attribute Decision Making - Methods and Application: A State of the Art Survey. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (1981). eBook ISBN: 978-3-642-48318-9; https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-48318-9
IAMS	Carlo Cavallini, Alessandro Giorgetti, Paolo Citti, Francois Nicolaie, Integral aided method for material selection based on quality function deployment and comprehensive VIKOR algorithm, Materials & Design, Volume 47, 2013, Pages 27-34, ISSN 0261-3069, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.12.009
IDCR	Franceschini, Fiorenzo & Rossetto, Sergio. (2002). QFD: an interactive algorithm for the prioritization of product's technical design characteristics. Integrated Manufacturing Systems. 13. 69-75. https://doi.org/10.1108/09576060210411521
IDOCRIW	E. K. Zavadskas, V. Podvezko, Integrated Determination of Objective Criteria Weights in MCDM, International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making, 15(02), pp. 267-283 (2016), doi.: https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219622016500036
IDRA	Greco, Salvatore, 1997. "A new PCCA method: IDRA," European Journal of Operational Research, Elsevier, vol. 98(3), pages 587-601. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(96)00022-7
IOWA	R. R. Yager and D. P. Filev, "Induced ordered weighted averaging operators," in IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics), vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 141-150, April 1999, https://doi.org/10.1109/3477.752789
IPS/IPV	Wang, L., Yang, Z., Waters, T. and Zhang, M. (2011) 'Theory of inner product vector and its application to multi-location damage detection', Journal of Physics Conference Series 305(1), https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/305/1/012003
IROR	Corrente, Salvatore & Greco, Salvatore & Roman, Slowinski. (2012). Robust Ordinal Regression in case of Imprecise Evaluations.
ISM	Malone, D. (1975). An introduction to the application of interpretive structural modeling. Proceedings of the IEEE, 63(3), 397-404. https://doi.org/10.1109/PROC.1975.9765
KANO	Kano, N., Seraku, N., Takahashi, F. and Tsuji, S. (1984) Attractive Quality and Must-Be Quality. Journal of the Japanese Society for Quality Control, 41, 39-48.
KANO-F (F-KANO)	Lee, Y. C., and S. Y. Huang. 2009. "A New Fuzzy Concept Approach for Kano's Model." Expert Systems with Applications 36: 4479–4484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2008.05.034
KEMIRA	Krylovas, Aleksandras & Zavadskas, Edmundas & Kosareva, Natalja & Dadelo, Stanislav. (2014). New KEMIRA method for determining criteria priority and weights in solving MCDM problem. International Journal of Information Technology and Decision Making. 13. 1119-1133. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219622014500825
KEMIRA-E	KRYLOVAS, ALEKSANDRAS & Dadelo, Stanislav & Kosareva, Natalja & Zavadskas, Edmundas. (2017). Entropy-KEMIRA Approach for MCDM Problem Solution in Human Resources Selection Task. International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making. 16. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219622017500274
LAM	Bernardo, J. J., & Blin, J. M. (1977). A programming model of consumer choice among multi-attributed brands. Journal of Consumer Research, 4(2), 111–118. https://doi.org/10.1086/208686
LAM-F (FLAM)	Ting-Yu Chen. A linear assignment method for multiple-criteria decision analysis with interval type-2 fuzzy sets. Applied Soft Computing 13 (2013) 2735–2748. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2012.11.013
LFA	Vommi, Vijaya Babu & Kakollu, Sravya. (2016). A Light approach to multiple attribute decision making using loss functions. Journal of Industrial Engineering International. 13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40092-016-0174-6
LFDN	B. M. Elomda, H. A. Hefny and H. A. Hassan (2013). "An extension of fuzzy decision maps for multi-criteria decision-making". Egyptian Informatics Journal, Vol. 12, iss. 2, pp. 147-155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eij.2013.05.001
LINMAP	Srinivasan, V., Shocker, A.D. Linear programming techniques for multidimensional analysis of preferences. Psychometrika 38, 337–369 (1973). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02291658
LM	B. Roy, D. Bouyssou, Aide Multicritere a la Decision: Methodes et Cas, Economica, Paris, 1993.
LOWA	F. Herrera, E. Herrera-Viedma, and J. Verdegay, "Direct approach processes in group decision making using linguistic OWA operators", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 175–190, 1996; https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(95)00162-x
MABAC	Pamučar, D.; Ćirović, G. The selection of transport and handling resources in logistics centers using Multi-Attributive Border Approximation area Comparison (MABAC). Expert Syst. Appl. 2015, 42, 3016–3028. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2014.11.057
MACBETH	C.A. Bana e Costa, J.C. Vansnick, MACBETH: An interactive path towards the construction of cardinal value functions, International Transactions in Operational Research 1 (4), 1994, pp. 387–500. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-3995.1994.00325.x
MADM-OPT	Zavadskas, Edmundas & Kalibatas, Darius & Kalibatiene, Diana. (2016). A multi-attribute assessment using WASPAS for choosing an optimal indoor environment. Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering. 16. 76-85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acme.2015.10.002
MAGIQ	McCaffrey, J. (2005) 'Multi-attribute global inference of quality (MAGIQ)', Software Test and Performance Magazine, Vol. 2, No. 7, pp.28–32.
MAPPACC	Matarazzo B . Multicriterion analysis of preferences by means of pair- wise actions and criterion comparisons (MAPPACC). Applied Mathematics and Computation, 1986; 18:119–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/0096-3003(86)90020-2
MARCOS	Stević, Ž., Pamučar, D., Puška, A., & Chatterjee, P. (2020). Sustainable supplier selection in healthcare industries using a new MCDM method: Measurement of alternatives and ranking according to COmpromise solution (MARCOS). Computers & Industrial Engineering, 140, 106231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106231
MARE	Richard E. Hodgett, Elaine B. Martin, Gary Montague, Mark Talford (2014). Handling uncertain decisions in whole process design. Production Planning & Control, Volume 25, Issue 12, 1028-1038; https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2013.798706
MARICA	Aksoy, E. (2021). An Analysis on Turkey's Merger and Acquisition Activities: MAIRCA Method. Gümüşhane Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Elektronik Dergisi, 12(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.36362/GUMUS.832590
MARS	Górecka, Dorota & Roszkowska, Ewa & Wachowicz, Tomasz. (2014). MARS – a hybrid of ZAPROS and MACBETH for verbal evaluation of the negotiation template.
MAUD	HUMPHREYS, P. C. and S. WOOLER, Development of MAUD through a feasibility study on the use of decision aiding software in vocational guidance, Technical report 81-4, Decision Analysis Unit, London School of Economics, London, 1981.
MaxiMax	MacCrimon, K. R. 1968, Decision Marking Among Multiple-Attribute Alternatives: A Survey and Consolidated Approach, RAND Memorandum, RM-4823-ARPA. The Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.
MaxiMin	von Neumann, J. and O. Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behavior, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1944.
MCCPI	Wu, J.-Z., S. Yang, Q. Zhang, and S. Ding (2015). 2-additive capacity identification methods from multicriteria correlation preference information. IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems 23(6), 2094–2106. https://doi.org/10.3390/math7030300
MCIA	Frini, A. (2017). A Multicriteria Intelligence Aid Methodology Using MCDA, Artificial Intelligence, and Fuzzy Sets Theory. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2017, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9281321

MCM	Bertier, P., Gagey, G., de Montgolfier, J., Roy, B.: Choix de tracés autoroutiers en milieu suburbain: Faut-il vraiment endommager des sites urbains et ou forestiers, lesquels? Communication aux journées de formation “transports et agglomérations”, Nice (1972)
MCQA	Atkin, R.H., 1977. "Combinatorial Connectives in Social Systems: an application of simplicial Heavy structures to the study of large organizations". Birkhauser, Basel.
MELCHIOR	J.P. Leclerc, Propositions d'extension de la notion de dominance en presence de relations d'ordre sur les pseudocriteres: MELCHIOR, Math. Social Sci. 8 (1984) 45-61
MEREC	Keshavarz-Ghorabaee, Mehdi & Amiri, Maghsoud & Zavadskas, Edmundas & Turskis, Zenonas & Antucheviciene, Jurgita. (2021). Determination of Objective Weights Using a New Method Based on the Removal Effects of Criteria (MEREC). Symmetry. 13. 525. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13040525
MEW	Zavadskas, E. K. (1987). Multiple criteria evaluation of technological decisions of construction. Dissertation of Dr. Sc., Moscow Civil Engineering Institute, Moscow (in Russian).
MiniMax	von Neumann, J. and O. Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behavior, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1944.
MIVES	José-Tomás San-José Lombera; Isaac Garrucho Aprea (2010). A system approach to the environmental analysis of industrial buildings. , 45(3), 673–683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2009.08.012
ML-FDM	B. M. Elomda, M. Hazman, H. A. Hefny and H. A. Hassan, "MCDM approach based on generalized fuzzy decision map method," 2015 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE), 2015, pp. 1-8, https://doi.org/10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2015.7337881
MMASSI	Pereira, M.T. (2003), Metodologia Multicritério para a Avaliação e Selecção de Sistemas Informáticos ao Nível Industrial, Dissertação Universidade do Minho, Escola de Engenharia.
MMCDM	Chelvier, Rene & Dammasch, Kristina & Horton, Graham & Knoll, Stefan & Krull, Claudia & Rauch-Gebbensleben, Benjamin. (2008). B.: A Markov Model for Multi-Criteria Multi-Person Decision Making. 262 - 266. https://doi.org/10.1109/INNOVATIONS.2008.4781672
MOORA	Brauers, Willem Karel M. and Edmundas Kazimieras Zavadskas. “The MOORA method and its application to privatization in a transition economy.” Control and Cybernetics 35 (2006): 445-469.
MSIP	Kruskal, J.B. Multidimensional scaling by optimizing goodness of fit to a nonmetric hypothesis. Psychometrika 29, 1–27 (1964). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02289565
MULTIMOORA	Brauers, Willem & Zavadskas, Edmundas. (2010). Project management by MULTIMOORA as an instrument for transition economies. Technological and Economic Development of Economy - TECHNOL ECON DEV ECON. 16. 5-24. https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2010.01
MUSA	E. Grigoroudis; Y. Siskos (2002). Preference disaggregation for measuring and analysing customer satisfaction: The MUSA method. European Journal of Operational Research, 143(1), 148–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0377-2217(01)00332-0
MUSA-INT	A. Angilella, S. Corrente, S. Greco, and R. Slowinski. MUSA-INT: Multicriteria customer satisfaction analysis with interacting criteria. Omega, 42(1):189–200, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2013.05.006
MZM	Martel, J.-M., & Zaras, K. (1995). Stochastic dominance in multicriterion analysis under risk. Theory and Decision, 39(1), 31–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01078868
NAFIM	Wang, C.-H.; Lu, I.-Y.; Chen, C.-B. "Evaluating firm technological innovation capability under uncertainty", Technovation 28 (2008), pp. 349–363; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2007.10.007
NAIADE	Munda, Giuseppe. “Multicriteria Evaluation in a Fuzzy Environment: Theory and Applications in Ecological Economics.” (1995). Springer, Berlin, 1995. ISBN: 9783790808926
NAROR	Silvia Angilella; Salvatore Greco; Benedetto Matarazzo (2010). Non-additive robust ordinal regression: A multiple criteria decision model based on the Choquet integral. , 201(1), 277–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2009.02.023
NDEA	Mirhedayatian, S.M., et al., A novel network data envelopment analysis model for evaluating green supply chain management. International Journal of Production Economics (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.02.009i
NEAT	Paweł Ziemba, Multi-criteria approach to stochastic and fuzzy uncertainty in the selection of electric vehicles with high social acceptance, Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 173, 2021, 114686, ISSN 0957-4174, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.114686
NGT	Delbecq, A. L., and A. H. Van de Ven. "Nominal Group Techniques for Involving Clients and Resource Experts in Program Planning," Academy of Management Proceedings. 1970, pp. 208-227.
NMUTA (UTA-NM)	Despotis, D.K., Zopounidis, C. (1995). Building Additive Utilities in the Presence of Non-Monotonic Preferences. In: Pardalos, P.M., Siskos, Y., Zopounidis, C. (eds) Advances in Multicriteria Analysis. Nonconvex Optimization and Its Applications, vol 5. Springer, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2383-0_7
NNCI	Munda, Giuseppe & Nardo, Michela. (2009). Non-Compensatory/Non-Linear Composite Indicators for Ranking Countries: A Defensible Setting. Applied Economics. 41. 1513-1523. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036840601019364
NSFDSS	Tam, C. & Tong, Thomas & Chiu, Gerald & Fung, Ivan. (2002). Non-structural fuzzy decision support system for evaluation of construction safety management system. International Journal of Project Management. 20. 303-313. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(00)00055-7
OPA	Y. Ataei, A. Mahmoudi, M.R. Feylizadeh et al., Ordinal Priority Approach (OPA) in Multiple Attribute Decision-Making, Applied Soft Computing Journal (2019), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105893
ORESTE	M. Roubens, Agregation des preferences eta prtsence de pr~ordres totaux sur l'ensemble des actions et d'une relation du type (I, P, Q) sur les points de rue, paper presented at the workshop 'Analyse et agr~gation des Preferences', Aix-en-Provence, France (June 1979).
ORME	Roulet, Claude-Alain & Flourentzou, Flourentzos & Labben, H.H. & Santamouris, Mat & Koronaki, I.P. & Dascalaki, Elena & Richalet, V.. (2002). ORME: A multicriteria Scoring methodology for buildings. Building and Environment. 37. 579-586. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1323(02)00005-7
OWA	R. R. Yager, “Families of OWA operators,” Fuzzy Sets Syst., vol. 59, pp. 125–148, 1993. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(93)90194-M
OWG	F. Chiclana, F. Herrera, E. Herrera-Viedma. Integrating multiplicative preference relations in a multipurpose decision-making model based on fuzzy preference relations. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Volume 122, Issue 2 (2001), Pages 277-291, ISSN 0165-0114. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(00)00004-X
OWGA	Xu, Z.S. and Da, Q.L. (2002), The ordered weighted geometric averaging operators. Int. J. Intell. Syst., 17: 709-716. https://doi.org/10.1002/int.10045
PACMAN	Giarlotta A . Passive and Active Compensability Multicriteria ANnalysis (PAC- MAN). J Multi-Criteria Decis Anal 1998; 7:204–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1360(199807)7:4<204::AID-MCDA192>3.0.CO;2-5
PAIRS	Salo, A.A. and Hämäläinen, R.P., 1992. Preference assessment by imprecise ratio statements. Operations Research, 40(6), pp.1053-1061. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.40.6.1053
PAMSSEM	Martel, J-M., Kiss, L. N., and Rousseau, M. A. 1996. PAMSSEM : Une procédure d’agrégation multicritère de type surclassement de synthèse pour évaluations mixtes. FSA Working Document, Department Opérations et Systèmes de Décision, Université Laval, Quebec.
PAPRIKA	Hansen, Paul; Ombler, Franz (2008). "A new method for scoring additive multi-attribute value models using pairwise rankings of alternatives". Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis. 15 (3–4): 87–107. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.428
PCA	Bertrand Mareschal, Jean-Pierre Brans, Пeometrical representations for MCDA, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 34, Issue 1, 1988, Pages 69-77, ISSN 0377-2217, https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(88)90456-0
PDM	S. Pugh (1981) Concept selection: a method that works. In: Hubka, V. (ed.), Review of design methodology. Proceedings international conference on engineering design, March 1981, Rome. Zürich: Heurista, 1981, pp. 497 – 506.
PHSS	Ahmad, M.R.; Saeed, M.; Afzal, U.; Yang, M.-S. A Novel MCDM Method Based on Plithogenic Hypersoft Sets under Fuzzy Neutrosophic Environment. Symmetry 2020, 12, 1855. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12111855
PIPRECIA	Stanujkic, D., Zavadskas, E.K., Karabasevic, D., Smarandache, F., Turskis, Z., 2017. The use of the pivot pairwise relative criteria importance assessment method for determining the weights of criteria. Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting, 20, pp.116-133.
PIV	Mufazzal, S., Muzakkir, S., A New Multi-Criterion Decision Making (MCDM) Method Based on Proximity Indexed Value for Minimizing Rank Reversals, Computers & Industrial Engineering (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2018.03.045
PLVF	Jafar Rezaei, Piecewise linear value functions for multi-criteria decision-making, Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 98, 2018, Pages 43-56, ISSN 0957-4174, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2018.01.004
PMADM	Sarfaraz Hashemkhani Zolfani, Reza Maknoon & Edmundas Kazimieras Zavadskas (2016) An introduction to Prospective Multiple Attribute Decision Making (PMADM), Technological and Economic Development of Economy, 22:2, 309-326, https://doi.org/10.3846/20294913.2016.1150363
PPARC	Ahti A. Salo, Raimo P. Hämäläinen, Preference programming through approximate ratio comparisons, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 82, Issue 3, 1995, Pages 458-475, ISSN 0377-2217, https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(93)E0224-L
PP-IOI	Antti Punkka, Ahti Salo, Preference Programming with incomplete ordinal information, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 231, Issue 1, 2013, Pages 141-150, ISSN 0377-2217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2013.05.003
PRAGMA	Matarazzo B . Preference ranking global frequencies in multicriterion analysis (Pragma). European Journal of Operational Research 1988; 36: 36-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(88)90005-7
PREFCALC	Jacquet-Lagrèze, E.: Interactive assessment of preferences using holistic judgments. The PREFCALC system. In: Bana e Costa, C.A. (ed.) Readings in Multiple Criteria Decision Aid, pp. 335–350. Springer, Berlin (1990)
PRIAM	Levine, P., Pomerol, J.Ch., 1986. PRIAM, an interactive program for choosing among multiple attribute alternatives. European Journal of Operations Research 25(2), 272-280.
PRIME	A. A. Salo and R. P. Hamalainen, "Preference ratios in multiattribute evaluation (PRIME)-elicitation and decision procedures under incomplete information," in IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics - Part A: Systems and Humans, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 533-545, Nov. 2001, https://doi.org/10.1109/3468.983411
PROMETHEE GKS	Kadzinski, Milosz & Greco, Salvatore. (2012). Extreme ranking analysis in robust ordinal regression. Omega. 40. 488-501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2011.09.003
PROMETHEE I	J. P. Brans, B. Mareschal, and P. Vincke, “PROMETHEE: A new family of outranking methods in multicriteria analysis,” Operational Research, Vol. 3, pp. 477–490. 1984.
PROMETHEE I-F	Muhammet Gul, Erkan Celik, Alev Taskin Gumus, Ali Fuat Guneri, A fuzzy logic based PROMETHEE method for material selection problems, Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, Volume 7, Issue 1, 2018, Pages 68-79, ISSN 2314-8535, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjbas.2017.07.002

PROMETHEE II	J.P. Brans, Ph. Vincke, A preference ranking organisation method (the PROMETHEE method for multiple criteria decision-making), Management Sci. 31 (6) (1985) 647-656 [Online]. Available: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2631441 [Accessed 10 November 2022]
PROMETHEE II-F	Muhammet Gul, Erkan Celik, Alev Taskin Gumus, Ali Fuat Guneri, A fuzzy logic based PROMETHEE method for material selection problems, Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, Volume 7, Issue 1, 2018, Pages 68-79, ISSN 2314-8535, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjbas.2017.07.002
PROMETHEE III	Brans, J.P. and Mareschal, B. (2002), Promethee-Gaia, une Methodologie d'Aide a` la De´cision en Pre´sence de Crite`res Multiples, E´ ditions Ellipses, Brussels
PRUF	L. A. ZADEH (1977). PRUF - a meaning representation language for natural languages. Int. J. Man-Machine Studies (1978) 10, 395-460. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7373(78)80003-0
PSO-SA	Tehrani Zadeh, M. A.; Rad, F. S.; Yousefi, M. et. al. Modification of Permutation Method in Multi-Criteria Decision Making Using PSO-SA. 4th Conference on Swarm Intelligence and Evolutionary Computation (CSIEC), 2020, Mashhad, Iran. IEEE, ISBN: 978-1-7281-7553-9
QFD / HOQ	Akao, Yoji (1994). "Development History of Quality Function Deployment". The Customer Driven Approach to Quality Planning and Deployment. Minato, Tokyo: Asian Productivity Organization. ISBN 92-833-1121-3.
QUALIFLEX	Paelinck, J. H. P., 1978. "Qualiflex: A flexible multiple-criteria method," Economics Letters, Elsevier, vol. 1(3), pages 193-197.
RA	Caddell, John; Dabkowski, Matthew; Driscoll, Patrick J.; DuBois, Patrick (2020). Improving stochastic analysis for tradeoffs in multi-criteria value models. Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.1717
RBOP	Ranking based on optimal points (RBOP) multi-criteria decision-making method. Zakeri, Shervin. Grey Systems; Bingley Vol. 9, Iss. 1, (2019): 45-69. https://doi.org/10.1108/GS-09-2018-0040
RE	W. Stillwell, D. Seaver, W. Edwards. "A Comparison of Weight Approximation Techniques in Multiattribute Utility Decision Making", Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 28 (1981), 62-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(81)90015-5
REGIME	Hinloopen E, Nijkamp P, Rietveld P. Qualitative discrete multiple criteria choice models in regional planning. Regional Science and Urban Economics, 1983; 13(1):77–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-0462(83)90006-6
REMBRANDT	Lootsma, F. (1992) The REMBRANDT System for Multi-criteria Decision Analysis via Pairwise Comparisons or Direct Scoring, Report 92-05, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands.
RI	Chiou, W.-C.; Kuo, H.-W.; Lu, I.-Y. (1999). A technology oriented productivity measurement model. International Journal of Production Economics, vol. 60-61 (1999), pp. 69-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-5273(98)00187-X
RICH	Salo, Ahti & Punkka, Antti, 2005. "Rank inclusion in criteria hierarchies," European Journal of Operational Research, Elsevier, vol. 163(2), pages 338-356, June. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2003.10.014
RIM	Cables Pérez, Elio & Lamata, Maria & Verdegay, Jose. (2015). RIM-Reference Ideal Method in Multicriteria Decision Making. Information Sciences. 337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2015.12.011
RIM-F (FRIM)	Cables Pérez, Elio & Lamata, Maria & Verdegay, Jose. (2018). FRIM - Fuzzy Reference Ideal Method in Multicriteria Decision Making; https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60207-3_19. In: Collan Mikael, Kacprzyk Janusz (Eds.), Soft Computing Applications for Group Decision-Making and Consensus Modeling, Springer International Publishing AG, ISBN: 978-3-319-60207-3 (2018).
RMCDM	Shah, H., Murata, T., & Malamura, E. (2017). Study on Robust Multi Criteria Decision Making for a Selection Problem. In Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2017, IMECS 2017 (Vol. 2228, pp. 970-975). Newswood Limited. ISBN: 9789881404770.
RMP	Rolland, Antoine. (2013). Reference-based preferences aggregation procedures in multi-criteria decision making. European Journal of Operational Research. 225. 479–486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2012.10.013
RMP-PE	Olteanu, Alexandru-Liviu & Belahcene, Khaled & Mousseau, Vincent & Ouerdane, Wassila & Rolland, Antoine & Zheng, Jun. (2022). Preference elicitation for a ranking method based on multiple reference profiles. 4OR. 20. 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10288-020-00468-5
ROC	F. Barron (1992). "Selecting a Best Multiattribute Alternative with Partial Information About Attribute Weights", Acta Psychologica, 80(1-3), pp. 91-103. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(92)90042-C
ROD	Roberts, R., Goodwin, P., "Weight approximations in multi-attribute decision models". J. Multi-Crit. Decis. Anal. 11:291–303 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.320
RPAP	Rolland, Antoine. (2013). Reference-based preferences aggregation procedures in multi-criteria decision making. European Journal of Operational Research. 225. 479–486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2012.10.013
RR	W. Stillwell, D. Seaver, W. Edwards. "A Comparison of Weight Approximation Techniques in Multiattribute Utility Decision Making", Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 28 (1981), 62-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(81)90015-5
RRMI	Rubiales, V, Monroy, L, Marmol, AM. A relative ranking with multidimensional indicators. Application to the Spanish banking sector. J Multi-Crit Decis Anal. 2018; 25: 101– 108. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.1638
RS	W. Stillwell, D. Seaver, W. Edwards. "A Comparison of Weight Approximation Techniques in Multiattribute Utility Decision Making", Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 28 (1981), 62-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(81)90015-5
RTM	Gogodze, J. (2019) "Ranking-Theory Methods for Solving Multicriteria Decision-Making Problems", Advances in Operations Research, Volume 2019, Article ID 3217949, https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3217949
RUBIS	Bisdorff, R., Meyer, P. & Roubens, M. RUBIS: a bipolar-valued outranking method for the choice problem. 4OR 6, 143–165 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10288-007-0045-5
RUTA	Kadziński, M., Greco, S., & Słowiński, R. (2013). RUTA: A framework for assessing and selecting additive value functions on the basis of rank related requirements. Omega, 41, 735–751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2012.10.002
SA	Grimble, R., & Wellard, K. (1997). Stakeholder methodologies in natural resource management: a review of principles, contexts, experiences and opportunities. Agricultural Systems, 55, 173–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-521x(97)00006-1
SAST	Mitroff, I. I., & Emshoff, J. R. (1979). On strategic assumption-making: a dialectical approach to policy and planning academy of management review, vol. 4(1), pp.1-12. https://doi.org/10.2307/257398
SAW	Churchman, C.W., and R.L. Ackoff. (1954). An approximate measure of value. Journal of Operations Research Society of America 2 (1): 172–87. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2.2.172
SAW-F (FSAW)	Widayanti Deni, Oka Sudana, Arya Sasmita. Analysis and Implementation Fuzzy Multi-Attribute Decision Making SAW Method for Selection of High Achieving Students in Faculty Level. 2013. IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, Vol. 10, Issue 1, No 2.
SBER	Ngan, S.-C. Evidential Reasoning approach for multiple-criteria decision making: A simulation-based formulation. Expert Systems with Applications (2015), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2014.12.053
SCA (I)	Friend, JK. & Hickling, A. "Planning Under pressure: the Strategic Choice Approach". 1987. Pergamon (Urban and Regional Planning Series, Volume 37). Oxford.
SCA (II)	Robert J. Tkach, Slobodan P. Simonovic (1997). A New Approach to Multi-criteria Decision Making in Water Resources. Journal of Geographic Information and Decision Analysis, vol. 1, iss. 1, pp. 25-44.
SI	Grabisch, M., Labreuche, C. (2005). Fuzzy Measures and Integrals in MCDA. In: Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis: State of the Art Surveys. International Series in Operations Research & Management Science, vol 78. Springer, New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-23081-5_14
SIMOS	Simos, J. (1990). Evaluer l'impact sur l'environnement: Une approche originale par l'analyse multicritère et la négociation. Lausanne: Presses Polytechniques et Universitaires Romandes.
SIPRES	Górecka, Dorota (2015). Evaluating the negotiation template with SIPRES – a fusion of the revised Simos' procedure and the ZAPROS method. Multiple Criteria Decision Making, Vol. 10, pp. 48 - 64. ISSN 2084-1531. Biblioteka Główna Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego, Katowice.
SIR	Xu, X. (2001). The SIR method: A superiority and inferiority ranking method for multiple criteria decision making. European Journal of Operational Research, 131(3), 587–602. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(00)00101-6
SIR-F/G/IFG	Chai, J., & Liu, J. N. K. (2010). A novel multicriteria group decision making approach with intuitionistic fuzzy SIR method. In World Automation Congress (WAC). Piscataway: IEEE. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1107.1020
SMAA	Lahdelma, R.; Hokkanen, J.; Salminen, P. SMAA - Stochastic multiobjective acceptability analysis, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 106, Issue 1, 1998, Pages 137-143, ISSN 0377-2217, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(97)00163-X
SMAA-2	Tervonen, T. and Figueira, J.R. (2008), A survey on stochastic multicriteria acceptability analysis methods. J. Multi-Crit. Decis. Anal., 15: 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.407
SMAA-3	Hokkanen, J., Lahdelma, R., Miettinen, K. and Salminen, P. (1998), Determining the implementation order of a general plan by using a multicriteria method. J. Multi-Crit. Decis. Anal., 7: 273-284. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1360(199809)7:5<273::AID-MCDA198>3.0.CO;2-1
SMAA-A	Lahdelma, R.; Miettinen, K.; Salminen, P. Stochastic Multicriteria Acceptability Analysis Using Achievement Functions. Turku Centre for Computer Science (TUCS) Technical Report No 459, April 2002, ISBN 952-12-0997-6, ISSN 1239-1891.
SMART	Dasarathy BV (1976) SMART: similarity measure anchored ranking technique for the analysis of multidimensional data analysis. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), 6(10):708–711.
SMARTER	Ward Edwards, F.Hutton Barron, SMARTS and SMARTER: Improved Simple Methods for Multiattribute Utility Measurement, Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, Volume 60, Issue 3, 1994, Pages 306-325, ISSN 0749-5978, https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1994.1087
SMARTS	Ward Edwards, F.Hutton Barron, SMARTS and SMARTER: Improved Simple Methods for Multiattribute Utility Measurement, Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, Volume 60, Issue 3, 1994, Pages 306-325, ISSN 0749-5978, https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1994.1087.
SMCDM	Asadabadi, M. R. (2018). The stratified multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) method. Knowledge-Based Systems, Vol. 162, Issue C (2018), pp. 115–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2018.07.002
SNOD	L. Ustinovich and D. Kochin, "Verbal decision analysis methods for determining the efficiency of investments in construction," Foundations of Civil and Environmental Engineering, vol. 5, pp. 35–46, 2004.
SODA	Ackermann, F., Eden, C. (2010). Strategic Options Development and Analysis. In: Reynolds, M., Holwell, S. (eds) Systems Approaches to Managing Change: A Practical Guide. Springer, London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84882-809-4_4
SORR	Kadzinski, Milosz & Tervonen, Tommi. (2013). Robust multi-criteria ranking with additive value models and holistic pair-wise preference statements. European Journal of Operational Research. 228. 169-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2013.01.022

SP	Schoemaker, P. J. H. (1995). Scenario planning: a tool for strategic thinking. Sloan Management Review, 36, 25–40 .
SPOTIS	J. Dezert, A. Tchamova, D. Han and J. -M. Tacnet, "The SPOTIS Rank Reversal Free Method for Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Support," 2020 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Information Fusion (FUSION), 2020, pp. 1-8, https://doi.org/10.23919/FUSION45008.2020.9190347
SRF	Figueira, Jose & Roy, Bernard, 2002. "Determining the weights of criteria in the ELECTRE type methods with a revised Simos' procedure," European Journal of Operational Research, Elsevier, vol. 139(2), pages 317-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(01)00370-8
SS	Inotai, András & Brixner, Diana & Maniadakis, Nikos & Dwiprahasto, Iwan & Kristin, Erna & Prabowo, Agus & Yasmina, Alfi & Priohutomo, Sigit & Nemeth, Bertalan & Wijaya, Kalman & Kaló, Zoltán. (2018). Development of multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) framework for off-patent pharmaceuticals - An application on improving tender decision making in Indonesia. BMC Health Services Research. 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-3805-3
SSM	Checkland, P.B. and Scholes, J. (1990) Soft Systems Methodology in Action. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York [Online]. Available: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=130360 [Accessed: 10 November 2022]
SURE	Hodgett, R. E., & Siraj, S. (2018). SURE: a method for decision-making under uncertainty. Expert Systems with Applications. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2018.08.048
SUSAIP	O.O. Ugwu; M.M. Kumaraswamy; A. Wong; S.T. Ng (2006). Sustainability appraisal in infrastructure projects (SUSAIP): Part 1. Development of indicators and computational methods. , 15(2), 239–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2005.05.006
SWARA	Violeta Keršuliene, Edmundas Kazimieras Zavadskas & Zenonas Turskis (2010) Selection of rational dispute resolution method by applying new step-wise weight assessment ratio analysis (Swara), Journal of Business Economics and Management, 11:2, 243-258, https://doi.org/10.3846/jbem.2010.12
SWING	D. von Winterfeldt and W. Edwards. Decision Analysis and Behavioral Research. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986. ISBN: 9780521273046
SWING-I (ISWING)	Matthias C. M. Troffaes, Ullrika Sahlin. "Imprecise Swing Weighting for Multi-Attribute Utility Elicitation Based on Partial Preferences". Proceedings of the Tenth International Symposium on Imprecise Probability: Theories and Applications, PMLR 62:333-345, 2017.
SWOT	Kotler, P. (1988). Marketing Management: Analysis, Planning, Implementation, and Control. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
TACTIC	Vansnick J-C . On the problem of weights in multiple criteria decision making (the noncompensatory approach). European Journal of Operational Research 1986; Vol. 24, iss. 2; pp. 288-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(86)90051-2
TCO	Morssinkhof S, Wouters M, Warlop L. Effects of providing total cost of ownership information on attribute weights in purchasing decisions. Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management, 17 (2011), pp. 132–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2011.02.002
TODIM	Gomes, Luiz & Lima, Monica. (1991). TODIM: Basics and apllication to multicriteria ranking of projects with environmental impacts. Foundations of Control Engineering. Vol. 16. 113-127.
TODIM-CPT	Gomes, Luiz & Machado, Maria & Gonzalez, Xavier & Rangel, Luís. (2013). Behavioral multi-criteria decision analysis: The TODIM method with criteria interactions. Annals of Operations Research. March 2013. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-013-1345-0
TODIM-E	Ming-Yang Li, Ping-Ping Cao. Extended TODIM method for multi-attribute risk decision making problems in emergency response. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 135 (2019) 1286–1293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2018.06.027
TODIM-F	R.A. Krohling, T.T.M. de Souza, (2012). Combining prospect theory and fuzzy numbers to multi-criteria decision making, Expert Systems with Applications. 39(13):11487–11493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.04.006
TODIM-IF	Krohling, Renato A.; Pacheco, André G.C.; Siviero, André L.T. (2013). IF-TODIM: An intuitionistic fuzzy TODIM to multi-criteria decision making. Knowledge-Based Systems, 53(), 142–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2013.08.028
TOPSIS	C.-L. Hwang, K. Youn, Multiple Attribute Decision Making - Methods and Application: A State of the Art Survey. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (1981). eBook ISBN: 978-3-642-48318-9; https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-48318-9
TOPSIS-F	Chen CT. Extension of the TOPSIS for group decision-making under fuzzy environment. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 2000; 114: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(97)00377-1
TOR	Jaini, Nor & Utyuzhnikov, Sergey. (2017). Trade-off ranking method for multi-criteria decision analysis. Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis. 24. e1600. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.1600
TRUST	Wang, Lusheng & Binet, David. (2009). TRUST: A Trigger-Based Automatic Subjective Weighting Method for Network Selection. 362-368. https://doi.org/10.1109/AICT.2009.68
ULOWA	Isern, David & Marin, Lucas & Valls, Aida & Moreno, Antonio. (2010). The Unbalanced Linguistic Ordered Weighted Averaging operator. 2010 IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence, WCCI 2010, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1109/FUZZY.2010.5584199
UTA	Jacquet-Lagreze E, Siskos Y (1982) Assessing a set of additive utility functions for multicriteria decision making - the UTA method. European Journal of Operational Research 10(2):151–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(82)90155-2
UTA-GMS	Salvatore Greco, Vincent Mousseau, Roman Slowinski. Ordinal regression revisited: multiple criteria ranking with a set of additive value functions. 2007. ⟨hal-00132991⟩
UTA-GMS-INT	Greco, Salvatore & Mousseau, Vincent & Słowiński, Roman. (2014). Robust ordinal regression for value functions handling interacting criteria. European Journal of Operational Research. 239. 711–730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2014.05.022
UTAMP	Siskos, Yannis & Grigoroudis, Evangelos & Matsatsinis, Nikolaos & Figueira, José & Greco, Salvatore & Ehrogott, Matthias. (2005). UTA methods. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-23081-5_8
UTASTAR	Siskos, Y. and D. Yannacopoulos, UTASTAR: An ordinal regression method for building additive value functions, Investigacao Operacional , 5 (1), 39–53, 1985.
VCIO	Jensen A.V., Barfod M.B., Leleur S. (2011) Estimating the Robustness of Composite CBA and MCA Assessments by Variation of Criteria Importance Order. In: Shi Y., Wang S., Kou G., Wallenius J. (eds) New State of MCDM in the 21st Century. Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, vol 648. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19695-9_5
VIKOR	Opricovic, S. (1998). Multicriteria optimization of civil engineering systems (in Serbian) (p. 302). Belgrade: Faculty of Civil Engineering.
VIKOR-F	Qin J, Liu X, Pedrycz W (2015) An extended VIKOR method based on prospect theory for multiple attribute decision making under interval type-2 fuzzy environment. Knowledge-Based Systems, Vol. 86, Iss. C, pp. 116-130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.05.025
VIMDA	P. Korhonen, A visual reference direction approach to solving discrete multiple criteria problems, European Journal of Operational Research, 34(2):152-159 (1988). https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(88)90350-5
VIP	Dias, L. and Clímaco, J. (2000) 'Additive aggregation with variable interdependent parameters: the VIP analysis software', Journal of the Operational Research Society, Vol. 51, No. 9, pp.1070–1082. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2601012
WASPAS	Zavadskas, E. K., Turskis, Z., Antucheviciene, J., & Zakarevicius, A. (2012). Optimization of Weighted Aggregated Sum Product Assessment. Elektronika Ir Elektrotechnika, 122(6), 3-6. https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.eee.122.6.1810
WASPAS-G	Edmundas Kazimieras ZAVADSKAS, Zenonas TURSKIS, Jurgita ANTUCHEVICIENE, Selecting a Contractor by Using a Novel Method for Multiple Attribute Analysis: Weighted Aggregated Sum Product Assessment with Grey Values (WASPAS-G), Studies in Informatics and Control, ISSN 1220-1766, vol. 24 (2), pp. 141-150, 2015. https://doi.org/10.24846/v24i2y201502
WEBIRA	Krylovas, Aleksandras & Kosareva, Natalja & Zavadskas, Edmundas. (2017). WEBIRA-Comparative analysis of weight balancing method. International Journal of Computers Communications & Control. 12. 238. https://doi.org/10.15837/ijccc.2017.2.2844
WISDOM	K. Van Overveld, "WISDOM: A Weightless Incremental Selection, Decision and Ordering Method", Lecture document from the Eindhoven University of Technology, http://www.idemployee.id.tue.nl/g.w.m.rauterberg/lecturenotes/ID-tools/wisdom_manual.pdf (25.05.2008), 2003.
WLCRT	Chou, Jyh-Rong. (2013). A Weighted linear combination ranking technique for multi-criteria decision analysis. South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences 16. 16. 28-41. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajems.v16i5.639
WLSM	Chu, A.T.W., Kalaba, R.E. & Spingarn, K. A comparison of two methods for determining the weights of belonging to fuzzy sets. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 27, 531-538 (1979). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00933438
WOD	Hougaard, Jens & Nielsen, Kurt. (2011). Weighted Overlap Dominance – A procedure for interactive selection on multidimensional interval data. Applied Mathematical Modelling - APPL MATH MODEL. 35. 3958-3969. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2011.02.005
WPM	Savitha, K. and Chandrasekar, C. (2011) 'Vertical handover decision schemes using SAW and WPM for network selection in heterogeneous wireless networks', arXiv ref.: 1109.4490.
WPM-F (FWPM)	Triantaphyllou, Evangelos & Lin, Chi-Tun. (1996). Development and evaluation of five fuzzy multiattribute decision-making methods. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning. 14. 281-310. https://doi.org/10.1016/0888-613X(95)00119-2
WSM	Churchman, C. W., & Ackoff, R. L. (1954). An approximate measure of value. Operations Research, 2(2), 172-187. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2.2.172
WSM-F (FWSM)	Triantaphyllou, Evangelos & Lin, Chi-Tun. (1996). Development and evaluation of five fuzzy multiattribute decision-making methods. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning. 14. 281-310. https://doi.org/10.1016/0888-613X(95)00119-2
XOR-AHP	Hocine, Amine & Kouaissah, Noureddine, 2020. "XOR analytic hierarchy process and its application in the renewable energy sector," Omega, Elsevier, vol. 97(C). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2019.06.008
ZAPROS	LARICHEV, O. I., J. A. ZUEV and L. S. GNEDENKO, Method Zapros (closed procedures near reference situations) for solving ill-structured problems of choice with many criteria, VNIISI, Moscow, 1979.
ZAPROS III	Larichev, Oleg. (2001). Ranking multicriteria alternatives: the method ZAPROS III. European Journal of Operational Research. 131. 550-558. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(00)00096-5
ZMii	Franceschini, Fiorenzo & Maisano, Domenico. (2019). Fusing incomplete preference rankings in design for manufacturing applications through the ZM II -technique. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology. 103. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03675-5