						54 Option Ratings 18 Preference Model	52 Option Ratings 42 Criteria Influence	48 Light 17 Reasonable	111 ≤ 25 : 104 Unlimited 21			103 Point Value 22 Ratio	## Assignment 1 59 Comparison	146 Independent 49 Interactina	## Flat only # 54 Hierarchical	t# ≤ 25 65 Unlimited	58 Nominal ## Measurable		113 Direct Rating 1 27 Comparison	125 Functional : 67 Separation
	ProBC	A: A Taxonomy for MADM Ranking methods			5 Intervals Order	13 Both	42 Both 164 N/A	18 Heavy 217	85 Onlimited 21	25 Equivalent	Pre-determined 1	111 Distribution		18 7	34 Metarchica e	N/A	58 Abstract Any	10 Distribution 116 Interval	16 Reference	24 Programming : 21 N/A
		•			Statements Mixed	7						Order N/A	34 Options-based 64 N/A	23 57			,			63
Abbreviation	Full Name	Year Top-Level Description	Type Count	1.1: Task	1.2: Output	1. Problem Spec	ification	1.5: Needed	2.1: Criteria	2.2: Criteria		2. Criteria De		2.6: Criteria	2.7: Criteria	3.1: Options	3. I	Preference Agg		3.5: Method of
		A UTA-based aggregation-disaggregation type approach: allows to automatically deduce the preference model from a subset of considered alternatives. Employs Linear		Facilitated	Format	1.3: Ambiguity Presence	Thresholds	Resource	Count	Importance	2.3: Weights Basis	2.4: Weights Format	Procedure	Dependency	Hierarchy	Count	Nature	Format	3.4: Rating Procedure	Aggregation
ACUTA	Analytic Center UTA	2010 Penersemine to colve the value functions granhically represented as a notification	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A N/A	Option Ratings N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A Ratio	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value N/A	Direct Rating N/A	Programming
AHP-F (FAHP)	Analytic Hierarchy Process Fuzzy AHP	1960 evaluation uses a 9-score system. Suitable for multiple DMs with different voting powers. 2011 AHP basis with fuzzy evaluation of criteria weights.	1	Criteria	Point Values Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Light Reasonable	5 25	Weighted	Subjective Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical Hierarchical	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Functional
AHP-M (MAHP)	Multiplicative AHP	AHP extension where pairwise comparisons are based on ratios, and ranking approximations are based on the logarithmic least squares technique. Allows magnifying the 1997 differences between alternative performances to offer a better distinction between the alternatives. Used for the problems where the evaluations of some alternatives	2	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Functional
AHP-MG	Consensus Decision-Making	all leave-rice has ach nother 2019 Consensus analysis for GDM MAHP settings based on heuristic consistency control. An improved version of AIP Pleaturing a revised rating procedure to resolve rank reversal in iterative applications. It involves normalising option Ratings so their sum	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Programming
AHP-R (RAHP)	Revised AHP	1983 a Immorrance version on their recording a revision stating processor failure reviews an interactive approximation, in introduce information gopcon makings so time sum always associated. 1992 Developed to aid problems that feature 2+ conflicting objectives. Derives the total level of aspiration by combining the aspiration levels of included criteria to identify the	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Weighted	Objective	Ratio	Options-based	Independent	Hierarchical	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
AIM	Aspiration-level Interactive Model Aggregated Individual Priority	1992 enon-inministerial alternatives non-inministerial alternatives A simple method for criteria weighting based on the geometric mean principle. Offers a rough approximation of the EM results at low effort only using one simple	3	Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A N/A	Option Ratings N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value Ratio	Reference	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited N/A	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating N/A	Programming
AIR	Aggregation of Individual Rankings	formula A GDM enrichment to the CBR method that allows aggregation of preferences into non-strict rankings by conjunctive elimination. Several GDM methods are used	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	s 25	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
AIRM	Aggregated Indices Randomization Method	simultaneously to derive final ranking as an average. Particularly useful to select or recommend amone a set of action options. Agregates probabilistic, incomplete and imprecise data from the various experts to derive the probabilistic ranking for the most important attributes of the involved colution a demantales. Does not use explicit orterior, but distinguishes between information course useful or defined, use explicit orterior, and experts of the involved colution as demantales.	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Arry	Ratio	Probability	Programming
AMAST	Advanced Multi-Attribute Scoring	ANALY-based method dedicated to ranking the solution options based on performances against multiple criteria. Originally introduced as part of the RFP process for purchasing functions, but can be used on its own. Involves ratio weighing, functional utility scoring, and indifference thresholds. Suitable for dealing with a very large	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
AND	Technique Analytic Network Process	number of variables 1996 General, non-linear evolution of AHP that uses Markov-chain based aggregation. Clusters the DP elements (criteria, solution alternatives) as a graph structure to	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Interacting	Hierarchical	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
ANP-F (FANP)	Fuzzy ANP	2003 ANP basis with the fuzzy expression of criteria weights.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Heavy	5 25	Weighted	Objective	Ratio	Options-based	Interacting	Hierarchical	5 25	Nominal	Ratio	Comparison	Functional
APIM	Aggregated Preference Indices Method	2008 Extension to AIM using Bayesian randomization models implemented through "immunocomputing" to evaluate the alternatives with non-numeric, uncertain, and incomplete information.	1	Ranking	Distribution	Preference Model	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
ARAMIS	Aggregation and Ranking of Alternatives near the Multi-attribute Ideal Situation	A simpler alternative to TDPSIS-like methods. Expert judgements (may be contradictory, diverse, non-compromising) of the solution performances against the criteria are stored in sets, which are then ordered in accordance with similarity to hypothetical best and worst solution.	2	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
ARAS ARAS-F (FARAS)	Additive Ratio Assessment	2010 Involves simple operations on the decision matrix where criteria weights are used as scalar multiplicators. The matrix values are normalised against a common scale. No salewise commanison is involved and thus, a large number of criteria and options can be processed.	8	Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A Roth	N/A N/A	Light Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Functional Functional
ARAS-G (GARAS)	Fuzzy ARAS Grey ARAS	2010 An improvement to ARAS using Fuzzy numbers to deal with uncertain decision information due to subjectivity, hesitation, or multiple opinions. 2010 An improvement to ARAS using Grey numbers approach to deal with uncertain decision information expressed as min/max bounds.	1	Ranking Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined	Interval	Assignment Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Direct Rating	Functional
ARAS-H ARGUS	Hierarchical ARAS Achieving Respect for Grades by Using	2021 An adaptation of the ARAS method for problems involving hierarchical criteria. Uses ordinally represented qualitative preferences. Uses 5 preference relations to compare the alternatives. Criteria weights are based on a 5-score system. Constructs an	1	Ranking	Point Values Order	N/A N/A	N/A Option Ratings	Reasonable Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined Pre-determined	Point Value Order	Assignment	Independent Independent	Hierarchical Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable Any	Point Value Order	Direct Rating Comparison	Programming Programming
	ordinal Scales Alternative Ranking Interactive Aid based	1994 outranking-based preference graph. Outperforms other outranking methods by using interval or ratio scales.	- 1			ALC:			O.EIBU				1		-	- Commande	~,			
ARIADNE	on DomiNance structural information Elicitation	1984 Uses graph theory algorithms to specify the structural model for a decision situation using relevant information (parameters, scores, etc.) rather than have it spontaneously adjusted by the DM. Allows setting the desired precision for the parameter and outcome (ranking) values in an iterative process.	1	Ranking	Order	Both	Both	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Interval	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Probability	Functional
ASPID	Analysis and Synthesis of Parameters under the Information Deficiency	An AHP-like method developed specifically for policy evaluation, which implies uncertainty. Contrary to AHP (which involves subjective criteria weights), criteria importance is derived mathematically based on the equality or inequality of importance among the criteria.	1	Ranking	Distribution	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Distribution	Probability	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Ratio	Probability	Functional
ACTOIC *	Advanced STRategic Intelligent Decision Aid	Built upon MAUD & ZAPROS, represents a practical application of the Dominance Search Theory to model the decision process. Facilitates problem structuring and choice	_	Pankir-	Order	N/A	N/A	1,144	Helmine	Eminated	N/A	N/A	N/A	Index	Elst	Unicited	Abetront	C-1	Corrects	Drawwa
ASTRIDA	Advanced STRategic Intelligent Decision Aid	1989 all pairwise comparisons have to be made, some may be omitted based on the available information. Also called PARK (foreign transiteration) or PACOM (Pairwise Commarison)	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	NIA	Light	Unimited	Equivalent	N/A	NIA	N/A	independent	Flat only	Unimited	Abstract	Order	Comparison	Programming
всм	Base-Criterion Method	2019 A simplification of BWM using a single base-criterion selected, which is pairwise compared against all other criteria to ensure fully consistent criteria weights.	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Reference	Interacting	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
BCR	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio	2021 Similar to CBA, but requires that all criteria are already expressed in monetary terms (or other common scale) and includes the process for deriving criteria weights. 1971 Allows rankins the solution alternatives to a particular problem in accordance with its effectiveness w.r.t. some particular criterion e.g. costs.	1 1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A N/A	Light	5 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order N/A	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Arry	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
BDA BGM	Bayesian Decision Analysis Brown-Gibson Model	1927 Uses the measures of critical, objective, and subjective factors within a compound formula to reflect both objective and subjective evaluations on individual parameters	1	Ranking Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings N/A	Option Ratings	Reasonable Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming Functional
BPA	Benefits Prioritization Analysis	implications of the preferences ("perceptions") collected from a large number of different stakeholders, offering an additional metric to measure the respondent's expertise and the importance of their opinion in the given project. Dedicated for use with BMM in the building industry, but could be adapted for other contents.	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Functional
																1				
BSC	Balanced ScoreCard	1995 Uses 4 dimensions: Financial, Customer, Internal, Growth. Allows deriving the criteria and solution alternatives to apply with MCDA criteria weighting and aggregation.	1	Formulation	Statements	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Order	Comparison	N/A
BWM-G	Best-Worst Method	2015 asked on selecting the bast (most important) and the worst (least eleportant) accision criteria accision where the selection of the remaining criteria asked on selecting the bast (most important) and the worst (least eleportant) accision criteria additionate by systematic pairwise comparison of the remaining criteria asked the comparison of the remaining criteria asked the comparison of the remaining criteria asked the comparison of the remaining criteria asked to the comparison of the	1	Criteria Criteria	Point Values Point Values	N/A Preference Model	N/A N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted Weighted	Subjective Subjective	Ratio	Reference	Independent	Flat only Flat only	N/A N/A	Any	N/A N/A	N/A	Functional
BWM-G	Group BWM Best-Worst Scaling	2022 an installation on seven for Grown IX. an institution or opinion requiring rise less a versit criteria. Serves as care-effective designed attackment for obligation but such extends to the service or all available options compared in pairs to derive priorities by identifying the maximum difference in option utilities. Although requiring some competence in MCDA theory for the analyst, this method is dedicated to offer a data-gathering	1	Criteria	Distribution	Preference Model	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Reference	Independent	Hierarchical	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
	-	nences that is simple for the reconnidents to use A highly flexible method for estimating high-precision preference structure of multiple DMs (attributes, weights, priorities) from their overall evaluations, which may be							-	-	1					1				
CA (I)	Conjoint Analysis	1976 Vagos, Allois bolloning a imique preveniente motes in each invitorial bet or a unique struction depending on the goal of the task. Comparison based, functional, statistically inference-based, comparison-based, functional, statistically	1	Criteria	Distribution	Preference Model	N/A	Reasonable	s 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Functional
CA (II)	Cloud Aggregation	2014 Inliguistic assessment scale is used to transform any linguistic term into a cloud of linguistic variables and further into numerical assessment values for Group DM. Includes DM. comostence weightnine. A qualifiative raining method that uses comparative assessments provided by multiple stakeholders in linguistic terms. Measures the degree of consensus between	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
CAM	Consensus Analysis Model	A qualitative ranking method that uses comparative assessments provided by multiple stakeholders in linguistic terms. Measures the degree of consensus between stakeholders. Not formulay a MCDA method, but performs an equivalent function by evaluating the alternatives against two attribute dimensions: any negative aspects associated with	1	Ranking	Order	Both	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
CBA	Cost-Benefit Analysis	1848 an alternative are expressed in monetary terms as costs; while its positive aspects represent the utility components and may be expressed in either monetary terms or the original measured units.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Acry	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
CBR CBS	Case-Based Reasoning Count-Balanced Sum	2008 Uses intelligent analysis of historical data to rank the existing solutions or help to derive the new ones based on the measure of similarity. 2019 A simple method for criteria weighting that offers a simpler calculation oppositions than FM to produce similar results.	3	Ranking Criteria	Order Point Values	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	Reasonable Light	Unlimited Unlimited	Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Subjective	Point Value Ratio	Assignment Comparison	Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited N/A	Any	Ratio N/A	Reference N/A	Functional Functional
CCA	Convex Cone Approach	1984 An interactive method that applies an Optimisation technique (convex cones) to solve discrete selection problems with ill-defined preferences. It is used to derive a cuitable nesference function by romaning the column attenuatives among each other	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
CCDM	Capacities-based Criteria Dependence Models	2019 Amethod for ranking option performances using integral approaches for problems involving a hierarchy of interactive criteria and incomplete preference information from the DM.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any	Distribution	Probability	Programming
CCSD	Correlation Coefficient and Standard Deviation	2010 A method for objective criteria weight derivation based on the performance of alternatives against each criterion and its individual effect on the overall ranking. Offers the ability to include the DM's subjective preferences for criteria weights (e.g. threshold, bias) on top of an objective weight.	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Distribution	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Programming
CFPR	Consistent Fuzzy Preference Relations	2004 A Group DM method for defining criteria weights from multiple vague opinions using pairwise comparisons. Translates linguistic terms into fuzzy numbers.	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Abstract	N/A	N/A	Functional
CGT	Cooperative Game Theory	2019 CGT approaches to discrete MCDA are used to consider preferential dependence, which exists in complex problems as a consequence of interaction among the criteria.	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Objective	Point Value	Options-based	Interacting	Hierarchical	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Programming
CILOS	Choquet Integral	2004 A method for objective derivation of weights for the criteria that exhibit dependencies between each other. Based on partial preorder of a reference set of criteria.	1	Criteria	Order Point Values	Preference Model	Criteria Influence	Heavy Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined Objective	Point Value	Assignment Ontions-based	Interacting	Flat only Flat only	N/A	Any Measurable	N/A N/A	N/A	Programming Functional
CILOS-F	Criterion Impact LOSs Fuzzy CILOS	1987 A simple procedure for objective criteria weighting based on measuring relative criteria impacts using entropy methods applied to Option Ratings. 2020 Extension of CILOS method to deal with vague criteria loss representations using triangle fuzzy numbers.	1	Criteria	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Ratio	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Functional
CM (II)	Conjunctive Method Cognitive Mapping	1964 One of the earliest MCOM methods: alternatives are rejected/deprioritised in accordance with passing the minimum criteria thresholds. Agroup method to map the DMS preception about the problem as a valve replicated and suggested and provided and a value for globed and a valve for globed and a	1	Ranking	Order Statements	N/A Preference Model	Option Ratings N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A Assignment	Interacting	Flat only Hierarchical	N/A	Measurable	Point Value N/A	Direct Rating N/A	Programming N/A
CM(II)	Cognitive Mapping Constructivist MCDA	1983 criteria. Useful for structuring complex problems with multi-level quantitative and qualitative criteria that are difficult to comprehend in precise terms. Used as a enecursor to criteria weishbline methods. 2021 A simple method for ranking a large number of options on many criteria in the presence of multiple stakeholders.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
COCOSO COCOSO-G	Grey COCOSO	2019 A compromise-seeking method based on a combination of WSM and WPM	8	Ranking Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A Option Ratings	N/A N/A	Light Reasonable	Unlimited Unlimited	Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Pre-determined	Point Value Point Value	Assignment Assignment	Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Functional Functional
COCOSO-G CODAS	COmbined COmpromise Solution COmbinative Distance-based Assessment	2019 An extension to CoCoSo with Grey Numbers to account for multiple expert opinions on the alternative ranks in a GDM setting. 2016 A simple method similar to other well-known distance-based approaches, but allows specifying the Indifference threshold to compare highly dissimilar options.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
COMET	Characteristic Objects METhod	2015 Uses the distance from the nearest characteristic objects and their value by determining the domain and Fuzzy Sets. Uses triangle fuzzy numbers to represent alternative	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Arry	Point Value	Comparison	Separation
COPRAS	Heavy Proportional	affiliations to linevictic values of criteria for nainwise communities 1996 An improvement to SAW method that features the consideration of the maximising and minimising criteria influences to improve applicability and precision.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
COPRAS-G	Assessment Grey-number COPRAS	2009 COBBAS mathed anhanced with Grou Bahtinor to cater for improving data on attribute performance	2	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Direct Rating	Functional
COSIMA	COmpoSite Model for Assessment	A hybrid method for assessing the Total State of Return for the considered alternatives based on a scaled combination of CBA (monetary criteria) and MCDA (for deriving output setting based on MAx neutronoves). A post-or	1	Ranking	Distribution	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Probability	Programming
CP	Compromise Programming CRiteria Importance Through Intercriteria	from an ideal point in the absence of additional criteria. Uses Taylor expansion of utility value in the vicinity of the ideal point.	2	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
CRITIC	Correlation	1995 Defines the criteria weights using an approach opposite to the EM method. Tests the correlation between criteria using the information contained in the Decision Matrix.	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Distribution	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Functional
CROC	Cardinal Rank Ordering of Criteria	Amethod for cardinal criteria weighting based on criteria rank to represent ordinal importance information. Calculates criteria weights based on the identification of the most and the least important criteria. Allows grouping criteria weights that are more or less similar in importance. Implemented as software.	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Acry	N/A	N/A	Separation
CUT	Concave UTility DEMATEL-based ANP	2014 An interactive procedure for choosing form a set of discrete objects when the DMYs preferences adhere to a concave value function. 2011 An extension of ANP with the DEMATEL method for assigning criteria weights with high precision and solving dependence and feedback problem.	1	Ranking Criteria	Order Point Values	N/A Preference Model	N/A N/A	Heavy Reasonable	Unlimited Unlimited	Equivalent Weighted	N/A Subjective	N/A Point Value	N/A Assignment	Independent Interacting	Flat only Hierarchical	Unlimited N/A	Nominal Any	Order N/A	Reference N/A	Programming Functional
DCE	Discrete Choice Experiments	2005 A method for inferring probabilistic criteria weights based on hypothetical outcome scenarios from multiple DMs using non-interactive, pre-defined surveys.	1	Ranking	Order	Preference Model	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Arry	Order	Comparison	Programming
DEA	Data Envelopment Analysis	A nonparametric Iterative programming technique for evaluating the relative efficiencies of decision units with common input and output terms using historical data and 1987 a hypothetical aggregating criterion. Typically used for retrospective monitoring and control applications, but also offers benefits if applied as an MCDA tool despite not	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
DELPHI	N/A (Not Specified)	originally comine from the MCDA domain. 1969 A structured group interaction method to define, select, and structure the evaluation criteria and solution options for MCDA problems using statistical methods.	1	Formulation	Mixed	Both	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Distribution	Probability	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Arry	Distribution	Probability	N/A
DELTA	N/A (custom name)	1998 A fool for choosing the available action (represented as solution options) based on the probability (represented as thresholds) of possible consequences (represented as internal solution).	1	Ranking	Intervals	Option Ratings	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Interval	Probability	Separation
DEMATEL	Decision making trial and evaluation laboratory	1974 An adoptation of the original DEMATEL method for finding the cause-and-effect relationships between objects to MCDA tasks. May be used either to rank the alternatives with implicit consideration of the criteria; or to derive criteria weights. Represents a special case FCM.	2	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Light	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Interacting	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Functional
DEX	Decision Expert Dependence-based Interval-valued	with implicit consideration of the criteria; or to derive criteria weights, supresents a special case runk. 1990 A language-based method that organises qualitative attributes hierarchically, Implemented using dedicated software only.	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
DIER-BCS	Evidential Reasoning combined with	2012 Dedicated to analysing the alternatives with dependent criteria of both quantitative and qualitative nature under uncertainty with minimum loss of precision.	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Hierarchical	≤ 25	Measurable	Interval	Direct Rating	Programming
	Balanced Score Card Determination d'Intervalles de VAriation																			
DIVAPIME	pour les Parametres d'Importance des Methodes Electre (French)	An interview-based method for criteria weighting that uses a more detailed version of preference relations to model complex DM attitudes; includes hesitation and intransitive relations.	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Reference	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Separation
	Disiunctive Methods	1964 One of the earliest MCDM methods: the better alternatives are those exhibiting the higher scores on any single criterion.	2	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
DM					Point Values	N/A				Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment		Flat only	Unlimited	Acry			Separation
DNMA	Double Normalization-based Multiple Aggregation	A comprehensive algorithm to derive the ranking of solutions based on benefit, cost, and target elements of the decision. Accepts both qualitative & quantitative criteria using probabilistic means of assessing linguistic terms. Involves 2 normalisation tools and 3 aggregation techniques. A sufficient face and one in passesson to require the ECO decision. Proceedings and the processing the pro	1	Ranking	Polit values	NA	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Hegate	Pre-determined	Point Value	rusquisii.	Independent	Patony	Onlined	Pely	Point Value	Probability	
DM DNMA DPSIR		2009 A Completedural approximation accounts that instruction closed controlled country of the discount, account on quantizative as quantizative command using probabilistic means of assistering professional closed controlled and page-granted techniques. 2009 A quantities (Project Acquired Controlled Controlle	1	Formulation	Mixed	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Direct Rating	N/A

DRPM Double DST Demps DST Demps EBA Ellimina EEP Expert I ELECTRE BI ELECTRE ELECTRE BI E	interests de hefference Point Method pater Shafer Theory pater Shafer Theory antialin by Aspects ret Elicitation Process TRE version 2 TRE version 2 TRE version 3 TRE version 6 TRE version 7 TRE version 7 TRE version 7 TRE version 8 TRE version 9 TRE version 9 TRE version 10 TRE	2018 2011 1972 1972 1973 1982 2007 1994 1998 1983 1992 2002 2011 1986 2015 2019 2016 2015 2019	disclared for working the alternatives more accounted in the PROMETIES methods. DEPOINT is assess the entiring & leaving from its provision aprills and provided in the provision of the provision of a p	Ranking	Order Intervals Distribution Order Statements Statements Order	NIA Perference Model Both NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA Option Ratings Option Ratings Option Ratings NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA Option Ratings Option Ratings NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	Option Ratings Both NVA NVA NVA Both Both Both NVA	Reasonable Light Light Light Light Light Light Light Light Light Reasonable Reasonable Reasonable Light Light Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Equivalent Equivalent Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Subjective Subjective NIA NIA Pre-determined	Point Value Point Value Distribution N/A N/A Point Value Point Value Point Value Point Value N/A Oldsribution Order	Assignment Assignment Assignment N/A Assignment	Independent Interacing Independent	Flat only Hierarchical Flat only Flat only Hierarchical Flat only	Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited 4 25 5 25 5 25 5 25 5 25 5 25 NNA NNA	Ary Measurable Measurable Ary Ary Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Ary Ary	Order Distribution Distribution Point Value N/A Order Order Order Order Order Order Point Value	Comparison Direct Rating Direct Rating Probability N/A Reference Comparison Comparison Comparison N/A Direct Rating	Functional
DOT Dempts BIA Ellimons EEP ELCTRE GRAS ELECTRE BLECTRE II ELECTRE II ELECTRE II ELECTRE BLECTRE II ELECTRE II ELECTR	poter Shafer Theory anation by Aspects rt Elicitation Process TRE extension with ADR TRE version 2 TRE version 3 TRE version 4 TRE III with Stochastic Scorine (custom name) (custom mame) (oreign Transliteration) mential Beasoning S-Swapp sudom with MIXed data ppy Weights Method (custom of the PROMethee (custom name) or RElationship y Cemarte y Cemarte y Cemarte Up Version Maps y Cemarte y Cemarte per december of the process of the PROMethee (custom name) or RElationship y Cemarte y Cemarte y Cemarte y Cemarte up of the PROMethee (custom name) or Relationship y Cemarte y Cema	2011 1972 1972 1973 1974 1975 19	whether for reading the alternatives in SOM string that uses TDIFS in approach to produce probabilistic natives you have using judgments from multiple SMs. 1 minutes options on by one by out families against such relatives in the control of the single when you desired against the single whening aption members to produce the single sing	Ranking Ranking Formulation Ranking	Distribution Order Statements Corder Corder Order Order Order Order Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Point Values Point Values Point Values Point Values	Both NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA Option Ratings Option Ratings Both NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	NIA NIA NIA Both Both Both NIA	Reasonable Light Light Heavy Light Reasonable Reasonable Reasonable Light	Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited	Weighted Equivalent Equivalent Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted	Subjective N/A N/A Pre-determined Pre-determined Pre-determined N/A Subjective	Distribution N/A N/A Point Value Point Value Point Value N/A Distribution	Assignment N/A Assignment Assignment	Independent	Flat only Flat only Hierarchical Flat only	Unlimited Unlimited	Measurable Any Any Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Any	Distribution Point Value N/A Order Order Order Order Order N/A N/A N/A	Direct Rating Probability N/A Reference Comparison Comparison Comparison N/A N/A	Programming N/A Programming Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional
EBA	ination by Aspects IT Elicitation Process ITRE extension with ROR ITRE extension with ROR ITRE version 2 ITRE version 3 ITRE version 6 ITRE version 7 ITRE v	1972 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1975 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 1977 2010 2011 1977 2010 2011 1977 2010 2011 1977 2010 2011 1977 2010 2011 1977 2010 2011 1977 2010 2011 20	Interest species on the year by audinating against each riberton in the order of probabilistic discrimination power until the single whening aption remains. 1 yourself the committed for retirening discrimination information from multiple experts before formaticing and MCMA tast. This information retired allows to bromulate the general properties of the application of the	Ranking Formulation Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Criteria Criteria Ranking	Order Statements Order Point Values Point Values Order Point Values Order	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Option Ratings Dotn Preference Model N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	NIA NIA Both Both Both Both NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA	Light Light Heavy Light Reasonable Reasonable Reasonable Light	Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited	Equivalent Equivalent Weighted Weighted Weighted Equivalent Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted	N/A N/A Pre-determined Pre-determined Pre-determined N/A Subjective	N/A N/A Point Value Point Value Point Value N/A Distribution	N/A Assignment Assignment	Independent Independent Independent Independent Independent Independent Independent	Flat only Hierarchical Flat only	Unlimited	Any Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Any	Point Value NIA Order Order Order Order Order NIA NIA NIA	Probability N/A Reference Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison N/A N/A	Programming N/A Programming Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional
EEP Expert ELECTRE GIMAS	rt Elicitation Process TTRE extension with ROR TTRE version 2 TTRE version 3 TTRE version 3 TTRE version 3 TTRE version 4 TTRE version 5 TTRE	2011 2011 1971 1978 1982 2007 2016 1977 2010 1994 1998 1983 1992 2011 2006 2011 2006 2015 2019 2001 20	youtstand method for rectively decision of information from multiple expects define for multiple and SEAS level. The information rectived above the broad for the processor of the control of the section of the designation of the deplication o	Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Criteria Criteria Criteria Ranking	Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Order	NI/A NI/A Cytion Ratings Cytion Ratings Both Preference Model NI/A NI/A NI/A NI/A NI/A	Both Both Both Both NIA NIA Both NIA	Heavy Light Reasonable Reasonable Reasonable Light	Unlimited	Weighted Weighted Weighted Equivalent Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Pre-determined Pre-determined N/A Subjective	Point Value Point Value Point Value N/A Distribution	Assignment	Independent Independent Independent Independent Independent Independent	Flat only	Unlimited ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 N/A	Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Acry	Order Order Order Order Order Order NiA NiA	Reference Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison N/A N/A	Programming Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional
ELECTRE GIMAS ELECTRE II ELE	TRE extension with ROR TRE version 2 TRE version 3 TRE version 3 TRE version 3 TRE version 4 TRE III with Stochastic Scorine (custom name) (custom mame) (foreign Transliteration) ential Resonning Swaps ustion with MIXed data ppy Weights Method (not of the PROMethee (custom name) or RElationship VEMNTE VEMNTE VEMNTE	2011 1971 1978 1982 2007 2016 1977 2010 1994 1998 1983 1992 2002 2011 1986 2015 2019 2001 20	processor for the rate justed as Corolles as in the Nature State production of the depotency for retirement weights, and before a corolles in present the corolles and present the corolles and present the corolles and depotency for the first version of a resident (ELCER) emotion, depotency for the first version of a resident (ELCER) and the LECER in restricted used for chace producents: Uses the corollescence and discordance underso the state of the first version of a resident (ELCER) and the LECER in restricted used for chace producents: Uses the corollescence and discordance underso the state of the first version of a resident (ELCER) and the LECER in restricted used for chace producents: Uses the corollescence and discordance underso the state of the lecentric corollescence and discordance underso the state of the lecentric corollescence and discordance underso the state of the lecentric corollescence and discordance underso the state of the lecentric corollescence and discordance underso the state of lecentric corollescence and lecentric corollescentric corollesce	Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Criteria Criteria Criteria Ranking	Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Order Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Order	NI/A NI/A Cytion Ratings Cytion Ratings Both Preference Model NI/A NI/A NI/A NI/A NI/A	Both Both Both Both NIA NIA Both NIA	Heavy Light Reasonable Reasonable Reasonable Light	Unlimited	Weighted Weighted Weighted Equivalent Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Pre-determined Pre-determined N/A Subjective	Point Value Point Value Point Value N/A Distribution	Assignment	Independent Independent Independent Independent Independent Independent	Flat only	≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 N/A	Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Acry	Order Order Order Order Order Order NiA NiA	Reference Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison N/A N/A	Programming Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional
BLECTRE II BLECTRE III	TRE version 2 TRE version 3 TRE version 3 TRE version 4 TRE till with Stochastic Scorine (custom name) (custom mame) (custom mame) (custom mame) (custom mame) (custom mame) (custom with MIXed data opp Weights Method (custom with MIXed data opp Weights Method (custom name) (custom n	1971 1978 1978 1982 2007 2016 1977 2010 1994 1998 1983 1992 2019 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015	white searchies and receive an extended for behinds conformer morbitis. In the conformer and the conformer morbitis and the conf	Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Criteria Criteria Criteria Ranking	Order Order Order Order Order Point Values Point Values Order	N/A Option Ratings Option Ratings Both Preference Model N/A N/A Option Ratings N/A N/A N/A	Both Both Both N/A N/A Both N/A	Light Reasonable Reasonable Reasonable Light	Unlimited	Weighted Weighted Equivalent Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Pre-determined N/A Subjective	Point Value Point Value N/A Distribution	-	Independent Independent Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only Flat only Flat only Flat only	5 25 5 25 5 25 5 25 5 25 5 25 N/A	Measurable Measurable Measurable Measurable Any	Order Order Order Order N/A N/A	Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison N/A N/A	Functional Functional Functional Functional Functional
BLECTER III BLECTE	Tillé evreion à Tillé evreion	1978 1982 2007 2016 1977 2010 1994 1998 1983 1992 2019 2002 2011 1986 2015 2019 1986 2015 2019 1987 2019 1988 2019 2009 20	action of Technolom. The other commonweal to ELECTR 1 milled with management or imperfect in the leading to sing panels or zeros. Interpretation of the ELECTR 1 milled with management of their bar highest as with soldner conflictions for the performance evaluation credibility. Interpretation of the ELECTR 1 milled with management of their bar highest as with soldner conflictions for the performance evaluation credibility. Interpretation of the ELECTR 1 milled with management of their imperfect proceed by the MD to the firm and problem confliction weight using a scatterior. Interpretation of their selectric complete process of the confliction imperfect proceeds by the MD to their home problem confliction weight using a scatterior. Interpretation of their selectric complete process of the confliction imperfect proceeds by the MD to the firm and proceeds of the MD to the confliction imperfect proceeds of the MD to the confliction imperfect proceeds on the conflictio	Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Criteria Criteria Ranking	Order Order Order Order Point Values Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Point Values	Option Ratings Option Ratings Both Preference Model NIA NIA Option Ratings NIA NIA	Both Both N/A N/A Both N/A	Reasonable Reasonable Reasonable Light	Unlimited	Weighted Equivalent Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined N/A Subjective	Point Value N/A Distribution	Assignment Assignment N/A Probability	Independent Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only Flat only Flat only	\$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 N/A	Measurable Measurable Measurable Any	Order Order Order N/A N/A	Comparison Comparison Comparison N/A N/A	Functional Functional Functional Functional
RELETER RELETER	TRE version 4 TRE version 4 TRE li with Stochastic Scorine (scutom name) weetor Method (Foreign Transliteration) retrial Beasoning Swaps S	1982 2007 1 2016 1 1977 2010 1 1994 1 1998 1 1992 2 2019 2 2011 1 1986 2 2015 2 2019 2	implification of the ELECKE II method with managinate contain but an applicate a test in observable conficients for the performance evaluation credibility. If CIET is method that a consist for uncertainties in chief importance predicts in a containing containing an accordance of the containing containing and accordance of the containing c	Ranking Criteria Criteria Criteria Ranking	Order Order Point Values Point Values Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Point Values	Option Ratings Both Preference Model N/A N/A Option Ratings N/A N/A	Both N/A N/A Both N/A	Reasonable Reasonable Light	Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited	Equivalent Weighted Weighted	N/A Subjective	N/A Distribution	Assignment N/A Probability	Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only Flat only	≤ 25 ≤ 25 ≤ 25 N/A	Measurable Measurable Any	Order Order N/A N/A	Comparison Comparison N/A N/A	Functional Functional Functional
ELOT	(custom name) meeter Method (Foreign Transiteration) ential Reasoning Swaps usation with MIXed data popy Weights Method gone of the REMONTHE (custom name) or REIsionship y CEMATE VECKNITHE VECKNITHE VECKNITHE VECKNITHE VECKNITHE De and Interactive Tradeoff for ing re Modes and Effects Analysis V Painwise Comparison	2007 2016 1977 2010 1994 1998 1983 1992 2019 2002 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015 2019 2019 2015 2019 2019 2015 2019 20	CRCRI in medical that accounts for uncertainties in training importance expects. 1 millioning control of the c	Criteria Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Criteria Ranking	Point Values Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Point Values Point Values	N/A N/A Option Ratings N/A N/A	N/A Both N/A	Reasonable Light Reasonable Heavy Reasonable	Unlimited Unlimited Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective Pre-determined	Distribution	Probability	Independent	Flat only	s 25 N/A N/A	Measurable Any Any	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	Functional
EM Element DPSSURE N/A For R	wector Wethod (Foreign Transliteration) ential Beasoning -Swaps uation with MIXed data ppy Weights Method nosin of the PROMethee (custom name) or RElationship y Cemithe Mann y Cemither Mann y DEMATE y Decision Majos de Decision-Making Matrix ble and interactive Tradeoff for ince rine re Modes and Effects Analysis y Palmives Comparison	1977 2010 1994 1998 1983 1992 2019 2002 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015 2019 1986 2015 2019 2015 2019 2015 2019 2015 2019 2015 2019 2015 2019 2015 2019 2015 2015 2019 2015 20	and the officer of information of the control of th	Criteria Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Criteria Ranking	Point Values Order Point Values Order Point Values Point Values Point Values	N/A N/A Option Ratings N/A N/A	N/A Both N/A	Reasonable Heavy Reasonable	Unlimited	-	Pre-determined					N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	
OPSSURE N/A for ER ER Exident ER Exident EXAMIX EVANAIX EVANAIX EVANAIX DUROM Extension FANNAA N/A/Cuck CM FARE FAND FARE FAND FARE FAND FARE FAND FARE FARE FARE FARE FARE FORMATE FREED FYTCARCHER Resident FYTCARCHER Resident FPC FARE FREED FARE FR	(Foreign Transitieration) ential Reasoning Swaps Swaps Swaps Lustion with MIXEd data appy Weights Method insist of the PROMethee (suston mame) or RElationship VERMITE VERMITE VERMITE VERMITE VERMITE Be and Interactive Tradeoff for ing. re Modes and Effects Analysis V Painwise Comparison	2010 1994 1998 1983 1992 2019 2002 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015	Authors the relative order of complex districtations (e.g. opprecies, compressing in terms or from forusculd in purpose updated by the relative control of the complex should only one of the complex one of th	Ranking Ranking Ranking Ranking Criteria Ranking Ranking Ranking	Point Values Order Point Values Point Values	N/A		Heavy Reasonable		Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Flat only			Deint Mehre	Direct Rating	Functional
15	Swaps uation with MIXed data popy Weights Method sison of the PROMethee (custom name) or RElationship VESMITE VESMITHE VESMITHE VESMITHE Decision Maps ed Decision-Making Matrix ble and Interactive Tradeoff for ine re Modes and Effects Analysis V Painwise Comparison	1994 1998 1983 1992 2019 2002 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015 2019	species, extended based approach to professions with quantificative day substitute or them under unconstraints, such as generate or rendermoses. 1 decide from of conjugations also where the professions cannot be as such as a few state of which we industry the themselves against cannot disclose the few substitutes against cannot be desirable and as a substitute of the desirable against cannot be desirable and under all desirables. 1 desirable against the desirable and the few substitutes and one for confidently represent a single performance what the desirable performance where the desirable and the substitutes are substituted to the substitute and the substitute and the substitutes are substituted and the substitutes are substituted as a labor of substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes and the substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes are substituted as a few substitutes are su	Ranking Ranking Criteria Ranking Ranking	Order Point Values Point Values	N/A		Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Polit value		Programming
EVAMIX EVABAT EWM Entrop DPROM Extend FANNA N/A (cut of FALtor I FANNA FARE FALtor I FORMATE FALTOR FORMATE FAL	uation with MIXed data ppy Weights Method nison of the PROMethee (custom name) or RElationship y Committee Mapa y CEMATE y Decksion Mapa y Decksion Maya de Decision-Making Matrix ble and interactive Tradeoff for ine. r Modes and Effects Analysis y Painwise Comparison	1998 1983 1992 2019 2002 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015	amond in it. Initiated advisor and reference in terms of the orthers critical or an extraction in terms of the orthers critical or an extraction in terms of the orthers critical or an extraction of the orthers are critical in the orther orther orthers are critical in the orthers ar	Ranking Criteria Ranking Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A	N/A		Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined N/A	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Probability	Functional
EWM Entropy EXPROM EXtensi FANMA N/A (cu FARE FActor I FOM FUEZY FDM FUEZY FDM FUEZY FDM FORCE FOMM FOMM FOMM FOMM FOMM FOMM FOMM FOMM	ppy Weights Method nsson of the PROMethee (custom name) or RElationship Cognitive Males Vection Males Vection Males de Decision-Making Matrix ble and Interactive Tradeoff for ing. re Modes and Effects Analysis V Painwise Comparison	1983 1992 2019 2002 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015	ch air of alternations. The control of the control	Criteria Ranking Ranking	Point Values	N/A	1400	Light Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A Objective	N/A Point Value	N/A Probability	Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Programming Separation
EXPROM Extensi FANMA N/A (cu FARE Factor FCM FUERY FUER FDEMATEL FUERY FDMM Forced FITTadeoff-R Ranking FMEA Falure FPC FUERY FUER FUER FUER FUER FUER FUER FUER FUER	nsion of the PROMethee (custom name) or RElationship Y Cognitive Maps Y Cognitive Maps Y DEMAITE POESSION Maps of Decision Maps be and Interactive Tradeoff for ing. re Modes and Effects Analysis Y Painwise Comparison	2019 2002 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015 2019	disclared for working the alternatives more accounted in the PROMETIES methods. DEPOINT is assess the entiring & leaving from its provision aprills and provided in the provision of the provision of a p	Ranking Ranking			N/A	Light	Unimited	Weighted	Objective	Point Value	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	Unimited	Any Measurable	N/A	N/A	Separation
FANMA	(custom name) or RElationship Y. Cognitive Maps. Y. Decision Maps Y. Decision Maps de Decision-Malays ble and Interactive Tradeoff for inner re Modes and Effects Analysis y. Pairwise Comparison	2002 2011 1986 2011 2006 2015 2019	In this appears to coming project or action standards that should common uses a s.g. apage project or action of the assistance what from a common uses a s.g. apage project or action of the assistance what from a common uses a sea and a common use and a common uses a sea	Ranking		N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Functional
FCM FUZZY C FDEMATEL FUZZY C FDM FUZZY D FDMM Forced FITradeoff-R Flexible FMEA Failure FPC FUZZY P FUCA adequa FUCOM Full Cor	y Cognitive Maps y DEMATE! Y Decision Maps ed Decision-Making Matrix ble and Interactive Tradeoff for ing re Modes and Effects Analysis y Pairwise Comparison	2011 1986 2011 2006 2015 2019	Statistic method for determining the weights of offerir but eithbild measurable interdependence based on subjective scaling of the criteria importance by the DM. 1 application of Cognitive Maps method to depict the indiscribing between interdependence orbanic. 1 and MATEL modification included for weeking with curricular in the decicion importance. 1 and a scalar or or orbanic method for weeking with curricular in the decicion included. 2 and orbanic method for the decicion orbanic with the feedback effect due to criteria dependencies. 2 and orbanic method for the decicion orbanic with the feedback effect due to criteria dependencies.	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
PDEMATEL FUZZY D FDMM Fuzzy D FDMM Forced FITradeoff-R Flexible Ranking FMEA Failure FPC Fuzzy P FUCA Saire U Sequent Full COM Fu	y DEMATEL y Decision Maps ed Decision-Making Matrix ble and Interactive Tradeoff for ting re Modes and Effects Analysis y Pairwise Comparison	2011 2006 2015 2019	MATEL modification intended for working with uncertainties in the decision input data. 1 evolution of FCM dedicated to dealing with the feedback effect due to criteria dependencies. 2		Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Interacting	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Functional
FDM Fuzzy D FDMM Forced FITradeoff-R Flexible Ranking FMEA Failure FPC Fuzzy P FUCA Faire U adequa FUCOM Full Com	y Decision Maps ed Decision-Making Matrix ble and Interactive Tradeoff for ing re Modes and Effects Analysis y Pairwise Comparison	2006 2015 2019	evolution of FCM dedicated to dealing with the feedback effect due to criteria dependencies.	Criteria	Order Point Values	Preference Model	Criteria Influence	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Interacting	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Programming
FITradeoff-R Flexible Ranking FMEA Failure FPC Fuzzy P. FUCA Faire Ut adequa FUCOM Full Cor	ble and Interactive Tradeoff for ring re Modes and Effects Analysis y Pairwise Comparison	2019		Criteria	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Light	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Interacting	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Functional
FMEA Faiture FPC Fuzzy P FUCA Faire U adequa FUCOM Full Cor	re Modes and Effects Analysis y Pairwise Comparison		provide A mouth but copy to implement method	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Functional
FMEA Failure FPC Fuzzy P FUCA Faire Ut adequa FUCOM Full Cor	re Modes and Effects Analysis y Pairwise Comparison		oftware-based method for iterative ordering of alternatives based on incomplete preference information expressed through partial preorder of the hypothetical tecomes.	Ranking	Order	Preference Model	Option Ratings	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
FUCA Fuzzy P. FUCA Faire Ui adequa FUCOM Full Cor	y Pairwise Comparison	1949	though not a formal MCDA method itself, it is widely used across the industries to evaluate the risk levels based on both qualitative and quantitative aspects of a oduct or action. Uses a pre-defined criteria categories (failure modes), Scoring values (severity), and importance weights (likelihood) that are used to describe the	Ranking	Order	N/A	Both	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
FUCA Faire Un adequa FUCOM Full Cor		1989	tem (nonduct, action) for the evaluation luzzy version of the Pairwise Comparison method dedicated to accounting for uncertainties in defining optimal criteria weights.	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Functional
FUCOM Full Cor	uate choice" from French)	- 1	very simple method for ranking the Pareto front of equivalent alternatives. Each alternative is ranked based on its performance Scoring within each criterion (top rank r best Scoring, bottom rank for weakest performance). Then, weighted sum is calculated for each alternative based on option rank and performance Scoring for all	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Order	Comparison	Functional
		2018	teria. elaborate method for deriving precise criteria weights based on simplified pairwise comparison procedure offering a more robust process. 1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Programming
FWA Fuzzy w	y weighted average	2008	thorough method for dealing with uncertain preference information to derive the fuzzy utility bounds for each alternatives with consideration of the DM's preference the strictness of criteria weighting.	Ranking	Intervals	Both	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Interval	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Direct Rating	Programming
	metrical Analysis for Interactive Aid	2009	risualisation technique for MCDA problems that provides a graphical view of criteria, data points, and decision axes. Uses PCA method in its operation and represents a noticities analysis technique for PROMETHEF number this conviction a risease view on the receitant ranking	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
	up Cognitive Maps th Model for Conflict Resolution	1007	gnitive Mapping ("CM II") method adapted for Group DM. 1 thorough method for inferring option scoring from subjective preference information expressed in immeasurable linguistic form (e.g. Yes/No answers) against many	Formulation Ranking	Mixed Point Values	Both Option Ratings	N/A N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited ≤ 25	Weighted Equivalent	Subjective N/A	Distribution N/A	Assignment N/A	Interacting	Hierarchical Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Distribution	Direct Rating Comparison	N/A Programming
	Relational Analysis	1998	teria. Uses mathematical Graigh theory approach to infer rankine output. uploys Grey System Theory to model incomplete or multiple information. Combines the use of other methods (AHP, TOPSIS) and accounts for DM competence by	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Reference	Separation
GRIP Genera	eralized Regression with Intensities of		sighting their opinions. efficient (i.e. less effort-consuming) evolution of UTA GMS allowing the DM to provide preference information on compatible criteria only and not considering all	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Programming
Prefere	erence sh theory and matrix analysis	2007	teria jointly, which leads to the derivation of a partial order. dicated to complex problems with dependence among the criteria. Considers multiple qualitative and quantitative attributes simultaneously. Requires fewer operations 4	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	< 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
	archical Additive Method	1981	an other methods offerine similar capability at a cost of omittine uncertainties and probability. combination of SAW and AHP for problems characterised with criteria hierarchy.	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	s 25	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Functional
	e Diagram Technique	1952	partial-ordering method capable of dealing with incomparability among the alternatives (i.e., where some criteria do not apply to all alternatives). A relatively complex of think however canable of considering a large number of alternatives assists many criteria.	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Acry	Order	Comparison	Programming
HEIM Hypoth Method	othetical Equivalents and Inequivalents	2005	precise, mathematically robust, and yet relatively simple method for determining criteria weights using a hypothetical reference of equivalent weights.	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Objective	Ratio	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
	archical Group Decision-Making		subjective method for ranking projects (described with qualitative & quantitative criteria) in large GDM settings where the group DMs represent a hierarchical structure of connected departmental includes the radius of DM connectence	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
HIA Hierard	archical Interactive Approach	1986	e constructs descaramental includes the ration of IMA commontance, implied approach for dealing with a large number of hierarchical quantitative or qualitative criteria. Each criterion is evaluated individually at the lowest level. This is then ed to construct a general correlation matrix describing criteria interdependencies and derive their weights.	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Arry	Order	Comparison	Separation
HRE Heurist	ristic Scoring Estimation	2012	ed to construct a general correlation matrix obscribins criteria interoperamentals and general their releases. It is retarday process to entirely the inchrowner criteria weights based on the kind it is retarday process to entirely the inchrowner criteria weights based on the kind it is retarday process to entirely the inchrowner criteria weights based on the kind it is retarday to the reference set of criteria. The unknown weights are determined as an it is retarday to the reference set of criteria. The unknown weights are determined as an it is retarday to the reference set of criteria. The unknown weights are determined as an interest of the values and ratios determined from the known weights.	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Acry	N/A	N/A	Programming
HSMAA Stochas	archical hastic Multicriteria Acceptability	2020	adaptation of SMAA to hierarchical criteria structure; produces probability-based rankings using Monte-Carlo simulation.	Ranking	Distribution	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Distribution	Probability	Independent	Hierarchical	≤ 25	Measurable	Distribution	Probability	Programming
Analysis	ysis archical Tradeoffs		skes the alternatives equivalent in all attributes except those interrelated by tradeoffs, then allows to outrank the alternatives against each of the interdependent	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	- 24	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
			teria using a graphical representation. 1 combination of QFD to assess the importance of criteria, and VIKOR to perform a robust ranking and selection of options.	Ranking	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Reasonable	5 25 5 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
	active Design Characteristics Ranking	2002	ed to prioritise the technical characteristics based on the weighted customer design requirements of a product at the early design stages. Dedicated for application of PD/HQ has been used to elicit design characteristic weights.	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Abstract	Order	Comparison	Programming
	grated Determination of Objective		integrated compensatory method that employs CILOS decision matrices and Entropy Method to measure relative criteria weights.	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Ratio	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Functional
	eria Weights eriteria Decision Rule Approach	1997	pairwise comparison method that relies on a mixed utility function to consider criteria weights & compromises. Determines the preferences using decision rule	Ranking	Order	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Comparison	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
	ced Ordered Weighted Average	1999	nations scores. We extension that orders criteria using a dedicated ordering value instead of using performance rating magnitudes. This allows a more direct implementation of the	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Reference	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
	r Product Space / Inner Product of	2011	circel value function chanse t a formal MCDA method, originates from engineering/technical applications concerned with characterising data clouds. Is an evolution to PCA, but designed to deal 1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
Vectors	ors recise Robust Ordinal Regression	2012	th highly inconsistent data clouds featuring multiple outliers. Rextension to deal with uncertain alternative Scoring.	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	N/A	Heavy	s 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Arry	Interval	Direct Rating	Programming
ISM Interpre	pretive Structural Modelling	1975	n extensions to beat with uncertain atternative scoring. To office a service of the service of	Criteria	Order	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	Comparison	Interacting	Hierarchical	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	N/A
7 17	(by Author's name)	1984	Indicates merely dedicated to ordering product attributes in accordance with their importance for the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects using other methods. 1 dedicates when the dedicated to ordering product attributes in accordance with their importance for the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of the control of the task at hand. Used as a precursor to calculating criteria selects with the control of	Criteria	Order	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Programming
KANO-F (F-KANO) Fuzzy K			adaptation of the KANCO method to allow for uncertainty or variability in the user/expert opinions, which may be expressed using more than 1 score, or withherd 1 mostly and the user of t	Criteria	Order	Preference Model	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Programming
	eny Median Indicator Ranks irdance	2014	genary occurates to critical procuration, this mention is presented as critical energiating mention occurate in ones not critical artifacts and critical energiating mention occurate in ones not critical artifacts and critical energiating mention occurate in ones not critical artifacts and inspiration of critical energiating mention occurate in occuration occurred in occuration occurred in occuration occurred in	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Order	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Programming
KEMIRA-E Entropy	opy KEMIRA	2017	extension of the KEMIRA method with entropy tools to incorporate the consideration of 3 or more groups of criteria when deriving weights.	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	Criteria Influence	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Order Delet Velve	Options-based	Independent	Hierarchical	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Programming
LAM Linear A LAM-F (FLAM) Fuzzy L	ar Assignment Method y LAM		es attribute weights and attribute-wise ranking of each alternative to derive the overall ranking using a linear compensatory process. 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ranking Ranking	Order	N/A Both	N/A	Reasonable Heavy	5 25	Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Subjective	Point Value Interval	Assignment Assignment	Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only	5 25 5 25	Any Nominal	Order Point Value	Comparison Direct Rating	Programming Programming
	Function Approach		Custates the total lois value for each alternative by combining the individual attribute losses. Uses linear, quadratic, or cubit functions on a 0.1 scale to allow for a children of the control of the	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
LINMAP Linear F	uistic Fuzzv Decision Networks ar Programming technique for	1972	extension to FDM (II) for dealing with uncertain definitions in the relative criteria importance. 1 incar programming procedure based on conjoint analysis to rank the ordered information. Assesses the weights and locates the ideal solution to identify the alternative of the control of the	Criteria Ranking	Point Values Order	Preference Model N/A	N/A N/A	Reasonable	5 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio Point Value	Comparison Options-based	Interacting	Flat only	5.25	Any	N/A Order	N/A Comparison	Functional Separation
Multidia	Julillerisional Arialysis of Frerence		seest to it. Impares all options against the most important criterion first based on criteria ordering by importance as part of the input data. Compares and outranks the options	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Links	Indicate	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Arrigament	Independent	Flat only	Unimited	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
	ographic Method uistic OWA	1993	ainst the minst immerizant eriteria in the order of derreasine immerizance until only one alternative is left We extension that uses convex linguistic lables to define preference values.	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
MARAC Multi-A	i-Attribute Border Approximation Area		e method calculates the values of criteria functions for each alternative and then evaluates the distance between the total criteria value for each alternative and the proximation area border. The ranking is based on this distance, with the farthest option representing the most preferred solution. Proposed as having better precision 1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Objective	Point Value	Options-based	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
MACRETH Measur	parison suring Attractiveness by a categorical		on more hasic riticance.hased methods: implified method similar to the AHP that uses pairwise comparisons to evaluate both the criteria and options. Linguistically defined qualitative performance	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	5.25	Any	Order	Comparison	Functional
Based E	d Evaluation Technique	1990	aluations are used to generate 7 possible numerical scores against weighted criteria. method for defining the criteria, identifying the options and the ideal alternative, and deriving option performances based on a range of available documentation e.g.	Formulation	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Linimited	Measurable	Point Value	Reference	N/A
			idative or technical	Destina	Point Values	N/A	N/A	-	- 26	-	Pre-determined	Order Order		Independent	Hierarchical	- DE		Order Order		Functional
		2005		nanking	, one values	- APR	- APA	Light	3.20	Weighted	Pre-uesermined	Order	Assignment	= dependent	meranciscal	220	Any	Ordel	Comparison	- arcoonar
	icriteria Analysis of Preferences by vise Actions and Criterion Comparisons	1986	es qualitative performance measures translated into numerical representation, and quantitative criteria weighing for pairwise comparison; both normalised on a 0-1 ale.	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Programming
	surement Alternatives and Ranking		es distance measurement from the best/worst known solution to construct a utility function. A simple method allowing to operate on a large number of criteria and	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Comparison	Separation
accordi	rding to COmpromise Solution i-Attribute Range Evaluations	2014	presents the suitability of solution options as utility ranges against the identified criteria instead of providing precise ranks or specific utility values. Works by 4	Ranking	Distribution	Option Ratings	N/A	-	Unlimited		Pre-determined	Point Value	-	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Distribution	Direct Rating	Functional
MultiAt	i-Attribute Range Evaluations iAttributive Ideal-Real Comparative	2014	rforming a global sensitivity analysis, that is considering multiple criteria at a time rather than one by one.		1	-		Light	Unitaria 1	Weighted			Assignment						1	
MARICA Analysis		2021	these gaps to inform option rankings. Similar to MARCOS, but only uses 1 reference point. Indirect method combining ZAPROS & MACBETH. Allows the elicitation of DM's preferences using pairwise comparisons of alternatives. Produces numerical scores for	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unimited	Weighted	Objective	Point Value	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
Situatio	itions		ch atternative to enable ranking.	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Functional
	i-Attribute Utility Decomposition		/IDA approach that applies the minimum difference method to specify the differences & similarities between the alternatives. Expresses the decision criteria as attribute asset of the transport lies asset on the property of the second on the transport lies asset of the second on the second on the second on the second of the second on the second of the	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Reference	Independent	Flat only	s 25	Nominal	Ratio	Comparison	Functional
MaxiMax MaxiMa		_	acce and the strongest link principle; prioritises an alternative that has the highest Scoring of its highest performing criterion as opposed to other alternatives. Suitable this situations where solution enformance is driven by a sinele criterion demonstration the strongest performance. 4 does not be waited in principle; priorities an alternative that has the highest Scoring of its lowest-performing criterion as opposed to other alternatives. Suitable for all on the waited in principle; priorities an alternative that has the highest Scoring of its lowest-performing criterion as opposed to other alternatives. Suitable for all on the waited in principle priorities an alternative that has the highest Scoring of its lowest-performing criterion as opposed to other alternatives. Suitable for alternative suitable suit	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
MaxiMin MaxiMi	iMin iple Criteria Correlation Preference	1,744	e situations where solution performance is driven by a sinale criterion demonstrating the weakest performance.	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
	rmation Preference		method for ordering the alternatives in situations requiring the evaluation of relative criteria importance (weights) and interaction.	Ranking	Intervals	N/A	N/A	Heavy	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Comparison	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
	i-Criteria Intelligence Aid	201/	Al-based approach for structuring complex problems with uncertain linguistic inputs, which also considers the DM's risk-taking attitude (represented as criteria Junnos thresholds).	Formulation	Mixed	Both	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Distribution	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	N/A
	ningful Compensation Method	- 1	INTERIOR MINISTRATION CONTRIBUTION TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	Ranking	Order	Preference Model	Both	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Interval	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Functional
	i-Criteria Q-Analysis		once by weprescring the alternatives on a 2D plane and ranking in accordance with separation from the ideal point. Offers the procedure to translate option Scoring and maintain ordania land 2D exercision by autorization	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
1454	(Foreign Transliteration) hod based on the Removal Effects of		ncordance and the lack of discordance.	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	S 25	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
Criteria	win.		simple method for objective criteria weight elicitation that uses a logarithmic function and a permutation of the possible scenarios.	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Point Value	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Programming
MEW Multipli	iplicative Exponential Weighting	1987	ight method similar to SAW that uses multiplication instead of addition. Allows exaggerating the distinction between alternatives that otherwise rank indistinguishably see to each other.	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional

MIVES ESTIVED MALEDM MULTI-M MMASSI Methology Methology MMASSI Methology MMSSI Multiol MMSSI Multiol MMSSI Multiol MMSSI Multiol MMSSI Multipl MMSSI MICHORA MMSSI MICHORA MMSSI MICHORA NORA NORA NORA NORA NORA NORA NORA N	delo Integrado de Valor para ucturas Sostenibiles ti-Level Fuzzy Decision Maps hodologis Multicriterio para Apoio a cao de Sistemas de Informacao kovian MCDM ti Objective mistaton hu Patro Anabeiri	1944 The reviewed the Madelfin mention operating the valued to deproje definitions alternatives that perform moves on their highest performing critics. Suitable for the characterism such inclinance interfaces that perform moves on their highest performing critics. Suitable for the characterism such instrumentation retination. 2009 Control or for tructuring complex MCMM problems and assessing options in terms of value that uses both cardinal evaluations and dominance relations. Uses multi- 2019 Auditional or their control or tructuring complex moves and tructure in the control of the section of the relation of the section of the retination and tructure in the section of the	1	Ranking Ranking Ranking	Order Point Values	N/A Option Ratings	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent Weighted	N/A Pre-determined	N/A Point Value	N/A Assignment	Independent	Flat only Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any Measurable	Point Value Interval	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Programming Functional
MWUS Extruct MALFDM Mullit-M MMAASI Methol Methol Selecac Selecac MMCDM Mulro MoDRA Mulro MUSA MUSA MUSA MUSA MUSA MUSA MUSA MUSA	ucturas Sostenibles ti-Level Fuzzy Decision Maps hodologia Multicriterio para Apoio a cao de Sistemas de Informacao kovian MCDM ti Objective imization by Ratio Analysis	200 Catalonder workshop, to gather legal references and experience produces the parameter. Allows choosing an appropriate value function to represent 200 Catalonder workshop, to gather legal references and the parameter. Allows choosing an appropriate value function to represent 200 Catalon and the parameter of the parameter of the parameter (and allowed the parameter of allowed the parameter of the parameter	1	-		Option Ratings	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Direct Rating	Functional
MMASSI Metho Selecac Selecac MMCDM Marko Selecac MMUSA Multis MMSP Market MMSP MMSP MMSP MMSP MMSP MMSP MMSP MMS	hodologia Multicriterio para Apoio a cao de Sistemas de Informacao kovian MCDM ti Objective imization by Ratio Analysis	Similar to the AHP but avoids the use of Pairwise Comparisons by using pre-defined set of option performance scores, allowing to cover an exhaustive set of generic factors.	1	Ranking																
MMCDM Markov MORA Multis MORA Multis MSIP Multis MUSA Multis MUSA-INT Interac NAZIM Martet NAZIM Martet NAZIM Martet NAZIM Mon-A NAZIM Mon-A NAZIM Mon-A NOVA NAZIM Mon-A NOVA NAZIM NOVA N	cao de Sistemas de Informacao kovian MCDM ti Objective imization by Ratio Analysis	factors.			Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Reasonable	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Interacting	Hierarchical	≤ 25	Nominal	Ratio	Comparison	Functional
MMCOM Markov MOORA Obtain MOSP Multid MMSP Multid MUSA Multic MUSA Multic MUSA Multic MUSA Martel MASA MARTEL MAS	kovian MCDM ti Objective imization by Ratio Analysis		1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Reference	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
MOORA Optimi MSIP Multid Multid Multimoora Marent Moora Marent Nora-Ramana No	ti Objective imization by Ratio Analysis	2008 A Group DM algorithm based on a steady-state Markov chain from pairwise comparisons to produce a ranking vector. Offers a relatively quick evaluation of very large	1	Ranking	Distribution	Both	N/A	Reasonable	5 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Any	Ratio	Probability	Programming
MODIA Optimi MSIP Multimi MUSA Multimi MUSA Multici MUSA Multici MUSA Multici MUSA Martel NAZM Martel NAZM Non-A- NAZM Non-A- NAGE NON-NAGE NON-A- NAGE NON-NAGE NON-A- NAGE NON-NAGE NAGE NAGE NAGE NAGE NAGE NAGE NAGE	imization by Ratio Analysis	amounts or atternatives, with little information requirements. Involves weighting both the criteria and DM competence evaluation.		-						-							-		1 1	
MULTIMOORA Multiple MUSA Multiple MUSA-INT Interact MEM Martel NAFIM Non-Ac NAIADE and De NAROR Non-Ac NET NIGHT NET NON-AC NON-AC NET NIGHT NOT NON-AC NON-AC NOT NON-AC NON-AC NOT NON-AC	tidimensional Scaling with Ideal Point	2006 A simple method for ranking the alternative options, which is based on the principles borrowed from the Multi-Objective Optimisation (MODM) domain.	8	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
MUSA Multicr MUSA-INT Interac MZM Martel NAFIM Non-A NOVE I NOVE I NAIADE and De NAROR Non-A NDEA Slack-B NEAT New E NGT Nomina		DM opinions for preference order on the alternatives are used to represent them as points in space, which are then compared against the ideal solution point. The judgements on criteria are converted into two dimensions reflecting Similarity and Dissimilarity.	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Separation
MUSA-INT Interac MZM Martel NAFIM Non-Ac NAFIM Non-Ac NAGA NOver I and De NAROR Non-Ac NDEA Slack-B NEAT New Ea NGT Nomini	tiplicative MOORA	A version of MOORA that uses multiplicative value function mixed with the reference-point approach to offer a higher precision of results. A preference disaggregation method used to assess satisfaction utility functions to retrieve a global satisfaction criterion consistent with customer preferences. Helps to	3	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
MZM Martel NAFIM Non-Ac NAIADE Novel /a and De Nanon-Ac NDEA Slack-B NEAT New Ea NGT Nomina		2002 prevenue using appayed on minimal uses to absess statistication using functions to receive a global setablic ten interior consistent with continuence of alternative (a.e. received received as global setablic tensor continuence). 2014 An evolution of UTA-GMS-INT that involves dependent criteria to allow the definition of groups using reference user/customer profiles.	1	Ranking Ranking	Distribution	Option Ratings	N/A N/A	Reasonable Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming Programming
NAIADE Novel A and De And	tel & Zaras Method	Dedicated to problems where option performances against each attribute are uncertain and provided in the form of probability distributions. Uses stochastic dominance	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	Both	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Any	Distribution	Probability	Programming
NAIADE and De NAROR Non-Ac NDEA Slack-B NEAT New Ea NGT Nomina	-Additive Fuzzy Integral Method	to derive partial preferences based on concordance and discordance indexes retrieved using the ELECTRE method. 2008 An implementation of non-additive development of the Sugeno integral for problems involving uncertainties e.g. linguistic variables.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
NAROR Non-Ac NDEA Slack-B NEAT New Ea NGT Nomina		Establishes pairwise outranking relations on fuzzy alternatives. Offers 6 distinct preference relations to remove the requirement for the DM to define performance thresholds.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Comparison	Functional
NEAT New Ea	-Additive Robust Ordinal Regression	2010 A detailed method for determining the relative order of preference for complex alternatives that are characterised by the interdependent criteria with uncertain weights.	1	Ranking	Order	Both	N/A	Heavy	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Reference	Interacting	Flat only	≤ 25	Any	Order	Reference	Programming
NGT Nomina		2013 A method for ranking objective (measurable) alternatives w.r.t. DMs preferences expressed by a set of complex, hierarchical, but unweighted criteria.	1	Ranking	Order	Both	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
	Easy Approach to Fuzzy PROMETHEE	2021 An efficient (less effort-consuming), user-friendly approach to applying Fuzzy PROMETHEE method.	1	Ranking	Intervals	Both	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
NMUTA (UTA-NM) Non-m	ninal Group Technique	1970 A structured method for identifying ratios of subjective outcome likelihoods. Facilitates equal contribution from stakeholders and quick agreement on the final ranking.	1	Formulation	Mixed	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Ratio	Probability	N/A
		1995 Derives the order of priority for quantitative alternatives in cases where the preferred performance values are not strictly defined and are instead represented by a range.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Programming
	compensatory, Nonlinear Composite	Derives a ranking order for a set of alternatives characterised by the quantitative (measurable) criteria exhibiting equivalent importance and uncertain performance	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Programming
NSFDSS Non Str	Structural Fuzzy Decision Support	Scoring. Applies relative fuzzy set theory using linguistic variables rather than quantitative. Implemented in 3 steps: decomposition, comparative judgment, and synthesis of	- 1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	< 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	< 25	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
System	inal Priority Approach	priorities. 2019 A method for ranking a set of discrete solution alternatives in GDM setting which also considers DM competence differences	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
OPESTE Organis	anisation, Rangement Et Synthese De	Uses ordinal evaluations of alternatives and importance-based criteria ranking. The distance function is used to derive a complete ranking of alternatives based on	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Acry	Order	Comparison	Separation
Donnes	nes Relationelles ce Scoring Methodology	A combination of PCA and ELECTRE that requires agglowerating the many criteria into 2 or 3 main ("principal") axes. These axes are independent and are analysed using	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	Both	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Reference	Functional
		2002 2ft no RT BY 2h hut the criteria within each axis may be denendent on each other 4002 A family of aggregation approaches that allows adjusting the shape of the value function by selecting criteria weights appropriately. The criteria weights are ordered in	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
		accordance with option. Ratines, but specific weight values/ratios are selected by the DM to reflect the desired preference behaviour. An evolution of OWA with Fuzzy Sets that uses 3 types of information about option performances from the DM: preference orderings, utility functions, and fuzzy	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Functional
OWGA Ordere	ered Weighted Geometric Average	oreference relations. The nature of accreted information requires updatine the analytical basis to use the Geometric Mean instead of averaging. 2002 An OWG-based aggregation method that uses the max & min performance values of each criterion to set its importance weighting values.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
Passive	ive and Active Compensability	1998 Distinguishes between the active & passive criteria to demonstrate compensation asymmetry. Binary indexes are used to identify preference relations.	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	Criteria Influence	Heavy	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Interacting	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Programming
PAIRS Prefere	erence Assessment by Imprecise Ratio	An interactive method based on the value trees with imprecise preference statements and interval-based criteria weights. Uses Linear Programming to derive dominance	1	Ranking	Intervals	Both	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Interval	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Direct Rating	Functional
Statem Procéd	édure d'Agrégation Multicritère de	1992 relations. Originally implemented as a computer program; requires expertise to implement independently. A hybrid of ELECTRE III, NALADE I & PROMETHEE I. Uses fuzzy evaluations and concordance/discordance indexes for preference aggregation to determine preference		1							,									_
PAMSSEM type Su	Surclassement de Synthèse pour	relations between the alternatives. PAMSSEM I allows for incomparability of alternatives to form a partial preorder. PAMSSEM II resolves incomparability into a total order.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	Both	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Programming
PARPINA Potenti	uations Mixtes entially All Pairwise Rankings of all	order. 2008 A survey-based statistical technique for determining the subjective valuation of the different solution attributes based on conjoint analysis. Users express their	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Heavy	s 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
possible	sible Alternatives	Amultivariate statistical technique demonstrated to be an effective standalone ranking method (not originally a formal MCDA method). Used for complex information pre-	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Holimite 4	Equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Hierarchical	Unimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
		nnnroccine hv hroakine it rinum into rriteria (nrinrina) riericino romanonento)	- 1	Ranking	Order Order	N/A	N/A		Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment .	Independent	Flat only	Unimited	Any	Order	Comparison	Separation Functional
PDM Pugh D	h Decision Matrix	1981 quantative technique capacie or haming the options above on an access relevant to a particular or as opposed to the decision what is used used in the other mechanisms as few same officially standard to the decision recommendations as townsting approaches for dealing with sets of different accuracy levels: Crisp, Pithogonic logic is a new mathematical approach representing the generalization of the four existing approaches for dealing with sets of different accuracy levels: Crisp,	- 1	Hanking	Urder	N/A		Light	Unimited	weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	independent	Fiat only	Unimised	Any	Order	Comparison	Functional
PHSS Plithog	ogenic HyperSoft Set	2020 Fuzzy, Intuitionistic fuzzy and Neutrosophic. Used in MCDA operations as a universal preference aggregation approach. Offers supreme flexibility for dealing with data at hields various lovek of arrurary.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
	t Pair-wise RElative Criteria Importance	2017 A method for defining criteria weights in large GDM settings with highly diverse opinions.	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Arry	N/A	N/A	Functional
	essment	Specifically proposed to minimise the issue of Rank Reversal. Relies on the measure of solution proximity to the best available or ideal possible solution. Introduces the	_			N/A												Point Value	Direct Rating	
PIV Proxim		2018 Proximity Index Value and processes it against the value & weight of each criterion considering. The overall Proximity Value for each alternative is then derived and used for ranking	3	Hanking	Point Values	NA	N/A	Light	Unimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	independent	Flat only	Unimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Kating	Separation
		for rankine Piecewise linear value functions offer a simpler alternative to non-linear value functions to derive reliable utility values to rank the options. The precision of this approach competes with non-linear value functions while requiring a level of affort comparable to usine linear ones.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
Drofore	pective MADM erence Programming through	A GDM approach to derive option Scoring based on future event probabilities and the limitations to possible Option Ratings. A relatively simple method for dealing with uncertain weighting of hierarchical criteria (e.g. in a GDM setting) that offers progressive development of the result before all	1	Formulation	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	N/A
0	roximate Ratio Comparisons	pairwise assassments are done, trice reducing the workload burden on the low.		Ranking				Heavy	5 25	Weighted	Subjective	Interval	Comparison	Independent		5 25	Nominal	Interval	Comparison	Programming
	inal Information	2013 A Preference Programming-type method that uses ordinal information to reflect both the criteria weights and the performance Scoring of alternatives in GDM settings.	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	Both	Heavy	s 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Reference	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Interval	Direct Rating	Programming
	erence Ranking Global Frequencies in ticriteria Analysis	The analytical component of the MAPPAC method that derives a global ranking of alternatives based on their "action profiles" (graphically represented commensurable behaviour) against selected criteria (partial profile) or all criteria (full profile), where the behaviour of the different alternatives may differ significantly.	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
	Ference CALCulator	A UTA extension enabling the DM to directly react on the shape of the Value Functions derived. Includes the classical aggregation phase to estimate model parameters	- 1	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	s 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Separation
2		directly, and the discerenation phase to eners DMFs holistic indements. An interactive, unstructured method based on an Al-Bia searching tree software to narrow down the set of available alternatives to a feasible subset by series of pairwise comparisons. Represents a ranking method at its core, but extends to a Selection task by the consideration of incomparability between alternatives ("I don't know" user				1	-	-	323	Hogazo				- Augustus	-	Criminaco	MCGG EDC		-	-
Artificie	nciene en mandemere (i renen)	1986 comparisons. Represents a ranking method at its core, but extends to a Selection task by the consideration of incomparability between alternatives ("I don't know" user statements)	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Programming
PRIME Prefere	erence Ratios in Multiattribute	2001 Used to analyse the incomplete information in multi-attribute weighting models. Involves constructing an imprecise preference model from imprecise ratio judgements. Ranks the options based on the dominance structures and the decision rules using holistic comparisons.	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	Criteria Influence	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
PROMETHEE GKS PROME		A Robust Ordinal Regression -based extension to the classical PROMETHEE methods. Allows using the desired ordering of reference alternatives as the baseline	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Reference	Programming
	erence Ranking Organization Method	Information for deriving nenferences. Less ourseling based on 6 preference thresholds and Concordance and Discordance preference indicators. Produces partial ranking of atternatives, which allows for 1984. Incomparatility. Partial ranking mights that additional evaluation effort is required to retrieve a clear view of the prioritised atternatives, but allows to exclude poorly	2	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Functional
for Enri	Enrichment of Evaluations I by PROMETHEE I	1309 intomparative, natural nating implies that additional evaluation enters is required to retinew a clear waw or the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enablement on the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enablement of the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance, but allows to exclude poorly enabled in the prioritized attendance attendance and the prioritized attendance attenda	2	Ranking	Order	Both	Option Ratings	Pagennable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Interval	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Functional
	METHEE II	1985 An extension of PROMETHEE I that offers a complete ordering of alternatives by resolving incomparabilities using the aggregation of entering and leaving flows.	2	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Functional
PROMETHEE II-F Fuzzy P	ry PROMETHEE II	2017 APROMETHEE II hasis with fuzzy representation of uncertain preference information	2	Ranking	Order	Both	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Interval	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Functional
PROMETHEE III PROME	METHEE III	Produces an interval order of option priorities to emphasize indifference relations and distinguish it from incomparability. This is achieved by allowing for option performance overlaps when deriving an interval order of preferences, which effectively accounts for uncertainty in the evaluation of alternative performances. Accounts	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Comparison	Functional
PRUF Possibil	sibilistic Relational Universal Fuzzy	for risks in the form of uncertain nerformance scratice A method for translating verbal (linguistic) assessments of option performances into probabilistic values with application of fuzzy logic to account for vagueness in verbal	1	Formulation	Distribution	Option Ratings	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Interval	Probability	N/A
Particle	icle Swarm Optimization with	meaning c 2020 Compares all possible ranking orders of the solution options to identify the one offering highest satisfaction with respect to criteria.	2	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
Simulat		Comparies air possible raining orders of the solution options to identify the one offering fighrest satisfaction with respect to criteria. Used to translate product requirements into design specifications. Used to raink product design characteristics by quantifying its relationship product requirements	-																-	
QFD / HOQ Quality	lity	through importance scores. QFD operation is based on the MCDA concepts and aion to prioritising multicriteria options.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Functional
		1978 Uses concordance analysis through successive permutations to rank the alternatives so they corroborate with ordinal information. Requires representing a complex system of criteria through 2 key parameters, Value & Cost. Serves to uncover the full spectrum of the potential outcomes in the decision	1	Ranking	Distribution	Ootion Ratings	N/A N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Freighted	N/A	Point Value N/A	Comparison N/A	Interacting		Unterior	Any	Distribution	Probability	Programming Separation
KA Realizat	lization Analysis	2020 situations involving uncertainty and high similarity of the alternatives. Particularly useful for practical decision-making in situations that are traditionally served by comolex methods.	1	- sarking	Jacqueton	Openi ratings	NIA.	reasonable	Jimmed	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	incependent	Hierarchical	Junimed	Any	Disciplina	riocausity	Зераганой
RBOP Ranking	king Based on Optimal Points	Finds the best option by measuring the distances of the available alternatives from the optimum and the optimul alternatives. The best alternatives sits closest to its optimum points and the optimal points simultaneously. The criteria are not outlined explicitly but defined through hypothetical "optimum" alternatives used to map the	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	≤ 25	Any	Order	Comparison	Functional
RE Rank Er	k Exponent	available notions against them A method for semi-objective criteria weighting. Similar to RR, but its formula involves an additional parameter for the number of ranking dimensions. Allows working with	4	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
	Ional Multicriteria Elimination	Builds a painwise comparison matrix using +1 for positive dominance, 0 for equivalent alternatives, and -1 for negative dominance to build weighted pre-order of	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	s 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
Prefere	erence RAnking Global frequencies in	alternatives. 1992 A multiplicative version of the AHP that uses pairwise comparison to subjectively perform both criteria weighting and option performance Scoring tasks.	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	≤ 25	Nominal	Ratio	Comparison	Programming
Multicr	ticriteria Analysis	A method for criteria weighting that uses a non-iterative analytical procedure to offer the result nearly identical to EM. Used to replace the EM method if the	3	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
		2009 computational resource is limited or to validate EM outputs. Offers better alignment of relative results than AIP or CBS methods. A detailed method for determining regards or other a weights based on DMCs often administrate statements, and germetrical assessment of ontino rankings based on	1	Ranking	Intervals	Preference Model	Criteria Influence	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	5 25	Nominal	Interval	Direct Rating	Programming
		interval Scorine. Allows for both known and uncertain information on cotion performances avainst various criteria. A method that uses reference to ideal and anti-ideal solutions like TOPSIS and VIKOR. However, where other methods define the ideal/anti-ideal solutions with extreme												-					-	
	erence Ideal Method	2015 MAx/Min values for each criterion, RIM allows for the hypothetical ideal solution to be described by any value and not necessarily the Max/Min possible value for given criterion, which is more realistic	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
	ry Reference Ideal Method	2018 A fuzzy version of the RIM method that deals with imprecise option ratings and reference interval bounds.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
RMCDM Robust	ust MCDM	Combines subjective and objective approaches (EM I, AHP, TOPSIS, and VIKOR methods) to minimise risk and maximise gain by introducing the consideration of DM views into objective analysis.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	s 25	Weighted	Objective	Point Value	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
RMP Ranking	king with Multiple Profiles	A simple ranking method for dealing with various types of criteria that may not be numerical and have to be evaluated subjectively. Uses comparative outranking against hypothetical solution options to model the DMs preference and not a pairwise comparison between the actual alternatives, which allows for a larger number of criteria	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Order	Reference	Programming
	erence Elicitation for Ranking with	and notions to be considered An objective preference elicitation method for pairwise-based ranking methods, originally intended for use with the RMP method. Used to derive option Scoring and	-1	Ranking	Order	Both	Both	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Ratio	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Reference	Programming
Multipl	tiple Profiles	preference orders for non-numerical (lexicographic) criteria. A method for objective criteria weighting based on subjective ranking of criteria as a reflection of its ordinal importance. Based on the mathematical principle of centroid:	- '	-	-		- 1			-			1	managed selfert	1 1	Jamaned	mouse abit		-	
ROC Rank O	k Order Centroid	1992 but suffers from unconstrained range of the possible criteria weights resulting - which can differ far enough to appear that some criteria have negligible influence on the	4	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
ROD Rank O	k Order Distribution	Assistion commands to others: A method for semi-objective criteria weighting that offers an improved, reliable performance over ROC method by using a more objective probabilistic assumption. 2002 Unrestricted weights are assumed to have uniform distribution and calculated using probability density functions. Produced criteria weights are similar to KS method and	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Distribution	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
		recording to which we method for dealing with situations where the importance relations of criteria and preference relations of options are mutually dependent (i.e. one serves as	-																	
Proced	edure	the reference for the other).	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Reference	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Abstract	Order	Reference	Programming
Detection .	k Reciprocal tive Ranking with Multidimensional	1981 A method for semi-objective criteria weighting, Calculates the non-normalised weights in 0-1 range through division by rank reciprocals (1/n).	4	Criteria	Point Values	N/A Destaurant Market	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Acry	N/A	N/A	Functional
Indicate	cators	A thorough method for ordering the alternatives in situations where their performance against the individual criteria is defined by multiple differing scenarios. A method for semi-objective criteria weighting. Calculates normalised weights in the 0-1 range through the division of criteria ranks by the rank-sum. Suggested for use in	1	Ranking	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Direct Rating	Programming
	king Sum	1981 Amethod for semi-objective criteria weighting. Calculates normalised weights in the 0-1 range through the division of criteria ranks by the rank-sum. Suggested for use in labora of ROV method in nonlikein, features of the rank-sum. Suggested for use in labora of ROV method in nonlikein, features in rank in the 0-1 range through the division of criteria ranks by the rank-sum. Suggested for use in labora of ROV method in nonlikein, features in the 0-1 range through the division of criteria ranks by the rank-sum. Suggested for use in labora of ROV method in the	4	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
	king Theory Method	A family of related methods based on ranking theory to build a special score matrix for a given problem. Simultaneous use of several calculation approaches offers a wider when no rescrict: A new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that uses bipolar-valued outranking digraph to enable progressive decision-aiding (i.e. options get eliminated along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches that iterative subtype of outranking along the new iterative subtype of outranking approaches along the	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Order	Comparison	Functional
		8703331	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	Both	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Programming
RUTA Rank-re	k-related requirements with UTA	2012 An evolution of GRIP where, instead of using criteria, the DM preferences are expressed as the desired rank of reference alternatives to order non-reference options.	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Ratio	Reference	Programming

SA	Stakeholder Analysis	Not a formal MCDA method originally. Offers decision-aiding function by helping the DM to identify the key actors / stakeholders in a project, and assess their respective interests and influences. The evaluations from different stakeholders are used to define the criteria. The possible actions affected by the evaluation define the options.	1	Criteria	Statements	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	I _{N/A}	Any	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Strategic Assumptions Surfacing and				Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited		Subjective	Ratio					Abstract	N/A	N/A	
SAST	Testing	1979 The procedure for deriving criteria importance weights based on two key metrics: importance and uncertainty 1954 Simplified MAVIT/special case MAUT with additive value function normalised on a 0-1 scale. Involves direct addition of the alternative performances multiplied by the	1	Criteria		N/A				Weighted	- '		Comparison	Interacting	Flat only	N/A				Programming
SAW-F (FSAW)	Simple Additive Weighting Fuzzy SAW		3	Ranking Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A Both	N/A N/A	Light Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Subjective	Point Value Distribution	Assignment Assignment	Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable Measurable	Point Value Distribution	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Functional Functional
SBER	Simulation-Based Evidential Reasoning	2013 A SAW basis with fuzzy representation of the criteria weights. 2015 A simulation-based extension to the original Evidential Reasoning method for dealing with information (multiple conflicting "belief Scoring"). Requires a computer code to essentiae.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Probability	Programming
SCA (I)	Strategic Choice Approach	2015 secreté. An interactive method for dealing with problems involving complex browledge. Facilitates consensus between staleholders or assessing the solution options through a 1987 joint barning process. Uses pairwise comparison of afternatives to derive a graphical representation of the ownral comparison between each pair. Implemented as STRAD orthogon.	1	Ranking	Distribution	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Any	Order	Probability	Programming
SCA (II)	Spatial Compromise Programming	cofeware configure c	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
SI	Sugeno Integral	2004 A detailed, precise technique to rate option performances against the Max & Min reference point on interacting criteria. Amethod for objective elevation of the criteria weights using a beopole set of coloured cards. Simplifies the electration of relative criteria importance evaluations in a olisi-like occess.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Heavy	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Interacting	Flat only	≤ 25	Any	Order	Comparison	Programming
SIMOS	N/A (by Author's name)		1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Programming
SIPRES	Simos' Procedure for REference Situations	2015 The combined ZAPROS & Simos methods for ranking qualitative alternatives using quantitative information. An extension of the PROMETHEE method that introduces Superiority & Inferiority concepts instead of Concordance & Discordance. Can be applied to rank the	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Nominal	Ratio	Reference	Separation
SIR	Superiority and inferiority ranking	acet actions of the Protice Inc. method that introduces superiority is intended by concepts instead of conceilment as backcraince. List to appear to raise the alternatives not his own or in confidence with other existion enthods for critical weakfield and distinct scalable. Annuy extension to SRF or dealing with uncertainties in option Scoring, Avariety of versions exist, including Fuzzy, Copy, and Grey Institutionistic representation of option and the process. Included a scoring with uncertainties in option Scoring, Avariety of versions exist, including Fuzzy, Copy, and Grey Institutionistic representation of option and the process. Included scoring with uncertainties in activation, that fuzzy extension to SRF fuzzy desired by the process. Included scoring with many fuzzier fails in abstraction and structure, but for exert the sure to narrow down to SRF fuzzier the process. Included scoring with many fuzzier than the process.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
SIR-F/G/IFG	Fuzzy SIR / Grey SIR	DM committeese	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	Option Ratings	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	≤ 25	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
SMAA	Stochastic Multicriteria Acceptability Analysis	1998 A ranking method based on stochastic simulation of heavy uncertainties. Uses option performances to derive criteria weights by calculating the "rank acceptability index" for each possible rank order of options.	1	Ranking	Order	Preference Model	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Interval	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
SMAA-2 SMAA-3	SMAA for GDM SMAA with thresholds	1998 SMAA adapted for incomplete preference information presented using value ranges. 1998 SMAA with thresholds limiting the possible option values, which affects the possible selection of option rankings from which criteria weights are calculated.	1	Ranking Ranking	Order	Preference Model Preference Model	N/A Option Ratings	Heavy Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted Weighted	Subjective Subjective	Interval	Comparison	Interacting Interacting	Flat only Flat only	5 25 - 26	Measurable Measurable	Point Value Point Value	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Programming Programming
SMAA-A		1995 3 show was to removable immining the possione option values, without makes the possione selection or option translating from which to make weight and conclusion. 2002 SMAMA that uses subsets of reference points to derive criteria weights that produce the most acceptable ranking order of alternatives. When the professional control of the profession of the utility/value function. Assumes independence of utility and preference.	1	Ranking	Order	Both	N/A	Heavy	5 25	Weighted	Subjective	Interval	Reference	Interacting	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
SMART		1976 Introduced-ten enformance can accident at a nominary and preference. Introduced-ten enformance can accident at a nominary and preference. An improvement to SMARTS offering methodological preference elicitation for the pre-specified attributes. Does not require stakeholder interviews and offers simpler.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Ratio	Reference	Functional
SMARTER	SMART Exploiting Ranks	ralrulations	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Ratio	Reference	Functional
SMARTS SMCDM	SMART + Swings Stratified MCDM		1	Ranking Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A Preference Model	N/A N/A	Light Reasonable	≤ 25 ≤ 25	Weighted Weighted	Subjective Subjective	Ratio	Reference Probability	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable Measurable	Ratio Point Value	Reference Direct Rating	Functional Functional
SNOD	Scale of Normalized and Ordered	2018 Uses statification concept to consider the possible probabilistic variations in criteria weights while a decision is being made or after it was made. AVOR method involving the efficiency criteria grouped into independent subsets. Performs a pairwise analysis of advantages and disadvantages between the alternatives. 2004 VDA procedure inply whetal purification of the DNLs is qualitative, weight responsas retrieved through interviews are deliron the analysis call most process that is a substance of the control of the con	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Interacting	Flat only	≤ 25	Measurable	Ratio	Comparison	Programming
	Differences Strategic Options Development and	numerical neeference connec A qualitative method that uses a form of Cognitive Mapping process to build a value map, which in MADM problems can be used as a mean to define the criteria and their	2	Formulation	Statements	N/A	N/A		Unlimited	Fourvalent	N/A	N/A		Independent	Hierarchical			N/A	N/A	N/A
SODA	Analysis	2010 hierarchy. Dedicated to underdefined problems of both quantitative and qualitative nature. Centred around the subjectivity of stakeholder views in organisational decision.makine tasks	2	Formulation	Statements	NIA		Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A		Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Arry	N/A	N/A	N/A
SORR	Stochastic Ordinal Regression for Ranking	2013 A method built by combining the approaches from SMAA, RDR, & ERA methods. It allows building a very detailed representation of the DMs preferences using scarce data and derive a reliable ranking recommendation based upon several different utility function types.	1	Ranking	Distribution	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Comparison	Programming
SP	Scenario Planning	A planning approach that leverages the full range of possible events and outcomes to select the best course of action. Consideration of the various possible scenarios is corrected for the common cognitive biases (overconfidence, tunnel vision). Combines both qualitative and quantitative planning means (the latter typically related to Secretary In the second course of the common cognitive biases (overconfidence, tunnel vision). Combines both qualitative and quantitative planning means (the latter typically related to Secretary In the second course of	1	Ranking	Distribution	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Abstract	Order	Comparison	Programming
SPOTIS	Stable Preference Ordering Towards Ideal	fisancials & timeline) 2020 A simple method for ranking deterministic options based on how far they fall from the ideal solution available for each criterion.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
SRF	Solution Simos-Roy-Figueira (Revised SIMOS)	2002 An improved version of the SIMOS method for criteria weights derivation based on reference points rather than mutual comparison between criteria.	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Programming
ss	Light Scoring	One of the simplest methods for ranking the alternatives. Relies on transforming a complex set of values and requirements into a clear (mutually exclusive, exhaustive, 2018 and relevant) criteria. Particularly effective in Group DM settings. Separate options are scored directly by voting and ranked using the sum or the average of the provided	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Hierarchical	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Programming
SSM	Soft Systems Methodology	scores. A method for defining objectives/criteria for complex, poorly defined or vague decision problems through an interactive interview/questionnaire process. Offers a strong 1990 qualitative capability and involves comparing the considered problem against the existing or past real-world examples. Results is sets of criteria that can be further used	1	Formulation	Statements	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	Assignment	Interacting	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any	N/A	N/A	N/A
		in MCDA process				10000	-	-					-	-				Distribution		
SURE	Simulated Uncertainty Range Evaluations Sustainability appraisal in infrastructure	2018. A improvement to MARK method using triangular distribution simulations to reflect the uncertainty in the performance of alternatives. Produces a range of possible values with the most likely values indicated. 2006. A method for translating strategic objectives into finite criteria in large group settings (a.g. public polis) to pre-process objectives for MCDM activities before using an	1	Ranking	Distribution	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal		Probability	Functional
SUSAIP	projects	aggregation metrical (e.g. wow).	1	Formulation	Point Values	Both	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Comparison	Interacting	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Any	Distribution	Direct Rating	N/A
SWARA	Step-wise Weight Assessment Ratio Analysis	2010 A method for criteria weighting in Group DM settings based on the frequency of criteria mentions in respondent opinions. Requires the involved stakeholders to express their opinions regarding criteria importance, which are then combined to yield the resultant relative criteria weights.	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Order	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Any	N/A	N/A	Functional
SWING	Derived from the concept of swinging between extremes	1986 A multistage method for deriving criteria weights based on the total range of possible option ratings. Uses dedicated functions (linear, non-linear) to allow different preference shapes between the extremes.	1	Criteria	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Reference	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Functional
SWING-I (ISWING)	Imprecise SWING Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and	2017 SWING criteria weighting method adapted for partial preference inputs. Originally a "pros-and-cons" type tool and not a formal MCDA method. Can be used to inform the system of criteria for strategic decision problems. A qualitative tool for	1	Criteria	Point Values	Preference Model	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Reference	Independent	Flat only	N/A	Measurable	N/A	N/A	Functional
SWOT	Threats	1998 defining the parameters/KPIs considering the specifics of the surrounding business environment. Evaluates the situation and potential actions against 4 dimensions: Strengths, Weaknesses, Onnortunities, and Threats	1	Formulation	Statements	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	N/A	N/A	N/A
TACTIC	Treatment of the Alternatives aCcording To the Importance of Criteria	1986 importance of criteria.	1	Ranking	Order	Option Ratings	Both	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Order	Reference	Programming
тсо	Total Cost of Ownership	A quantitative method for evaluating quantitative & qualitative decision aspects through a common factor expressed in monetary terms. Allows for imperfect 2011 quantification of non-monetary aspects. Is not originally part of the MCDA domain, but allows to derive a men't for ranking the alternative solutions. Allows the inclusion	1	Ranking	Intervals	Both	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Point Value	Options-based	Interacting	Hierarchical	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
TODIM	Interactive Multi-Criteria Decision Making	of DM commetence ratine 1991 Facilitates pathering the insights about DM/Stakeholder subjective preferences. Uses dominance measure to reproduce the eain/loss function of prospect theory.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
TODIM-CPT	(Port.) TODIM based on Cumulative Prospect	2013 An extension of TODIM that takes criteria interactions into account	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Heavy	< 25	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
TODIM-E	Theory Extended TODIM	2019 An extension of TODIM method for dealing with probability intervals.	1	Ranking	Intervals	Option Ratings	N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Probability	Separation
TODIM-F TODIM-IF	Fuzzy TODIM Intuitionistic Fuzzy TODIM	2012 An extension of the original TODIM method for dealing with imprecise decision information expressed by the DIM as interval or linguistic values. 2013 A general extension to TODIM that considers hesitation regarding option performances.	1	Ranking Ranking	Point Values Point Values	Both Option Ratings	N/A N/A	Reasonable Reasonable	Unlimited ≤ 25	Weighted Weighted	Subjective Subjective	Distribution Ratio	Assignment Reference	Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited Unlimited	Measurable Measurable	Distribution	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Separation Separation
TOPSIS	Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution	1981 Emphasises the solutions closest to the ideal goal using Euclidean distances by minimising the distance to the ideal solution and maximising the distance to the anti-ideal solution. Requires fewer calculations than VIKOR.	3	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
TOPSIS-F	Fuzzy TOPSIS	2012 A TOPSIS basis with fuzzy triangular representation of criteria weights and options Scoring to represent a discordance of opinions in a GDM setting.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
TOR	Trade-Off Ranking TRigger-based aUtomatic Subjective	2016 Allows choosing the best alternative from a set of equivalent Pareto Optimal solutions (as produced by an MOOM procedure) by ranking based on minimising trade-off. 2009 An efficient method (i.e. avoids pairwise comparisons) for prioritising options using a combination of subjective and objective judgements on specific technical and	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
TRUST	weighTing	natural parameters outside or DM's control (e.g. equipment performance values).	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Abstract	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
ULOWA	Unbalanced Linguistic Ordered Weighted Averaging	2010 An extension to LOWA that uses non-uniform distribution of Fuzzy values assigned to linguistic labels to allow a more practice, case-specific adjustment of the DM's preference behaviour. This helps to deliver a more realistic interpretation of the linguistic terms provided by the DMs with different opinion weights.	2	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
UTA	UTilités Additives	1982 The core method in a set of "aggregation-disaggregation" approaches originally developed as an alternative to MAUT. Optimally infers additive value function consistent with the alphal preference expressed through ranking a set of reference alternatives by the DMB.	3	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤25	Any	Point Value	Reference	Programming
UTA-GMS	Extension of UTA with GMS (named by the authors' names)	2008 A version of the UTA method that uses permutation of all possible consistent value functions to identify the most suitable one.	3	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤25	Any	Point Value	Reference	Programming
UTA-GMS-INT UTAMP	UTA GMS for INTeracting criteria UTA Meta-Process	2014 An extension of the UTA-GMS method using Non-Additive Integrals to allow for dependence between criteria. 2005 An extension to UTA that highlights the differences between successive reference actions to yield a more pronounced preference model.	1	Ranking Ranking	Order Order	N/A N/A	Option Ratings Option Ratings	Heavy Heavy	≤ 25 ≤ 25	Equivalent Equivalent	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	Interacting Independent	Flat only Flat only	≤ 25 ≤ 25	Any	Point Value Point Value	Reference Reference	Programming Programming
UTASTAR	UTA with Stability Analysis	1985 A more precise version of UTA that replaces constraint values with error margins for the reference rankings. Objectivity of this method is supported by the fact that is calculates all possible variations of criteria rank orders of importance. This allows to eraphically demonstrate the	1	Ranking	Point Values	Option Ratings	Option Ratings N/A	Heavy	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤ 25	Any	Ratio	Reference	Programming
VCIO	Variation of Criteria Importance Order	2011 probabilities of a particular criteria to take a particular rank position, where the section area for each criterion on the graph can be used to define the final rank position for that criterion areas of the criterion areas	1	Ranking	Intervals	Both	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Order	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
VIKOR	Multi-Criteria Optimisation & Compromise	2012 A compensatory version of TOPSIS that minimises the distance to ideal solution using linear normalisation. Produces a more precise, relevant answer and allows exploring the range of stable criteria weights i.e. such that retain the same ranking as was obtained with original weights.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Criteria Influence	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
VIKOR-F	Fuzzy VIKOR	2015 A VIKOR basis with fuzzy representation of the DM preferences and option performance Scoring to suit a GDM setting with multiple opinions.	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	Criteria Influence	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Point Value	Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Separation
VIMDA		1988 An interactive method for measuring the DM's overall appration levels using reference directions. Ranking is derived subjectively using graphical output representation. A method for preference aggregation using additive value functions for problems with imprecise decision information. Involves progressive down selection of the abstractions contained to the consequence of the contained of	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	Option Ratings	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Objective	Ratio	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
VIP	Variable Interdependent Parameters Weighted Aggregated Sum Product	BINCHBOTH AND SOMEWAY.	1	Ranking	Intervals	Option Ratings	Both	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Reference	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
WASPAS WASPAS-G	Assessment	2012 Represents a combination of WPM and WSM with adjustable bias towards one of the aggregation approaches (multiplicative or additive), if preferred. 2015 An extension to the WASPAS method with Grey numbers for dealing with imprecise, underdefined, or immeasurable decision information.	8	Ranking Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A Both	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Pre-determined Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment Assignment	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable Nominal	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional Functional
WASPAS-G WEBIRA	Grev WASPAS WEight Balancing Indicator Ranks	An extension to the WASHA method with crey numbers for cleaning with imprecise, underecetined, or immeasurable decision information. A high-precision method for optimizing criteria weights through an iterative procedure until the optimum distribution of weights is identified, based on the initial ranking of alternatives ceriformed with equal criteria weights.	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	N/A	Heavy	s 25	Weighted	Objective	Order	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	s 25	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Programming
WISDOM	Weightless Incremental Selection, Decision,	A way simple, sensadsheat-hazed method for devision notion performance Servine from nainwise commarison information that uses qualitative eradations. The	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Light	Unlimited	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Any	Order	Comparison	Functional
WLCRT	and Ordering Method Weighted Linear Combination Ranking	Uses linear combinations of matrix algebra (Eigenvector calculations) to derive criteria weights based on option performances. Produces the decision matrix using	1	Ranking	Point Values	N/A	Option Ratings	Heavy	Unlimited	Weighted	Objective	Point Value	Options-based	Independent	Flat only	Unimited	Measurable	Point Value	Direct Rating	Functional
WLSM	Technique Weighted Least Square Method	anominal scoring against external reference values for each criterion. Relatively simple and flexible. 1979 A more reduct and neerice version of the AHP-like method that involves elaborate commute chased ratinizations.	1	Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A	N/A	Reasonable	Unlimited	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	≤25	Nominal	Ratio	Comparison	Functional
WOD	Weighted Overlap Dominance	2011 An interactive procedure for ranking the alternatives based on weighted overlap of interval Scoring values, risk attitude, and weighted dominance. Presents a visual outnut to facilitate the DM's understanding of the relationshins between ranked alternatives.	1	Ranking	Intervals	Option Ratings	Option Ratings	Heavy	≤ 25	Weighted	Pre-determined	Point Value	Assignment	Interacting	Flat only	Unlimited	Measurable	Interval	Direct Rating	Separation
WPM WPM-F (FWPM)	Weighted Product Method Fuzzy Weighted Product	2011 A version of the WSM method that uses multiplicative aggregation instead of addition to amplify performance differences between the options. 1996 An extension to WPM method where the a-level sets are used to derive fuzzy utilities	8	Ranking Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A Both	N/A N/A	Light Reasonable	Unlimited Unlimited	Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Subjective	Point Value Distribution	Assignment Assignment	Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited Unlimited	Measurable Measurable	Point Value Distribution	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Functional Functional
WSM-F (FWSM)	Weighted Sum Method Fuzzy Weighted Sum	1954 Global performance of each alternative is computed as the algebraic sum of its evaluations multiplied by its weighted criteria.	8	Ranking Ranking	Point Values Point Values	N/A Both	N/A N/A	Light Reasonable	Unlimited Unlimited	Weighted Weighted	Pre-determined Subjective	Point Value Distribution	Assignment Assignment	Independent Independent	Flat only Flat only	Unlimited Unlimited	Measurable Measurable	Point Value Distribution	Direct Rating Direct Rating	Functional Functional
XOR-AHP	XOR-based AHP	1996 An extension to WSM method where the a-level sets are used to derive fuzzy utilities 2019 A technique for deriving criteria weights and analing the alternative based on multiple judgements in the presence of uncertainty regarding both option scoring and criteria waients in communities or inferioristic and numeral TAM attributes to universal and by insintenserine WIREPuriosion RBI loads	1	Ranking	Point Values	Both	N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Weighted	Subjective	Ratio	Comparison	Independent	Hierarchical	≤ 25	Nominal	Ratio	Comparison	Programming
ZAPROS	N/A (Foreign Transliteration)	rintrion weights: Incommonates enterlietist maceinates and authorities to incommand the immemmentation YTRIF-induced RRI India. 1979 A verbal decision analysis method that derives partial order on the set of options using psychologically aligned preference elicitation procedures. Uses ordinal evaluation of information sealors ordered notice to hairly this was relatives.	1	Ranking	Order	N/A	N/A	Light	≤ 25	Equivalent	N/A	N/A	N/A	Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Abstract	Order	Comparison	Programming
ZAPROS III	N/A (Foreign Transliteration) N/A (custom name)	An extension of ZAPROS method for dealing with ordinal evaluations of alternatives expressed in interval form, which allows for incomparability and partial order. As Society Exchange for aggregating interval pagements about option performances in GDM setting, where the degree of agreement between the DMs and the DMS	1	Ranking Ranking	Order	N/A Preference Model	N/A N/A	Reasonable	≤ 25	Equivalent Equivalent	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	Independent Independent	Flat only	Unlimited	Abstract	Order	Reference	Programming Functional